

**APPENDIX 1 – FOR INFORMATION**  
**Applications for disabled persons parking bays which did not meet**  
**Kent County Council’s assessment criteria**

REQUESTED LOCATION	REASON(S) DECLINED
<b>EDENBRIDGE</b>	
Chestnut Close (northwest side, section fronting nos. 2-10)	This application was declined because KCC’s assessment criteria require that the minimum width of the carriageway in which the parking bay would be sited is 5.5m. This is not applicable in this case.
<b>HORTON KIRBY</b>	
Saxon Place (northwest side, section fronting nos. 14-16)	This application was declined for a number of reasons. The only available location for a parking bay would be in the turning head of the road, as the remainder of the length of road near the applicant’s home is also very narrow and does not meet KCC’s assessment criterion relating to minimum road width. However, the turning head is also narrow and not to current highway standards, and hence the introduction of a parking bay there would cause problems for turning vehicles. There is also insufficient space within the cul-de-sac to fit the parking bay marking.
<b>SWANLEY</b>	
Wested Lane (south side, section fronting nos. 9-18)	This application was declined because KCC’s assessment criteria require that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the minimum width of the carriageway in which the parking bay would be sited is 5.5m</li> <li>• the disabled applicant is in receipt of appropriate benefits (e.g. higher rate of Disability Living Allowance, Attendance Allowance or enhanced mobility component of Personal Independence Payment).</li> </ul> Neither is applicable in this case.