

Decision Number: 45 (2014/15)

Portfolio Holder Executive Decision Statement

The Local Authority (Executive Arrangements)(Meetings and Access to Information)(England) Regulations 2012

Subject: Repair & Renew Grant 2014

Details of Decision taken: One application to the Repair & Renew Grant to receive funding as follows:

Mill Cottage, Shoreham - £ 5,000.00

Reason for Decision

- Cabinet, on 8 May 2014 approved the guidelines for the Repair & Renew Grant and delegated authority to the Economic & Community Development Portfolio Holder to agree funding. After DEFRA made changes to the scheme in late May, Cabinet agreed changes to the Guidelines on 5 June 2014.
- Funding that this Council pays out under the Repair & Renew Grant is claimed back quarterly from DEFRA.
- Officers recommended that one application from Shoreham be awarded a total of £ 5,000.00

All Documents considered:

Repair & Renew Grant application from Mill Cottage, Shoreham

Details of any alternative options considered and rejected by the Member when making the Decision:

None

Financial implications

Funding allocated and paid out is claimed back from DEFRA on a quarterly basis. Funding must be claimed from DEFRA by 31 March 2015. The Council's deadline for residents and business to claim funding agreed under the scheme has been extended to 31 January 2015, which is within DEFRA's deadline .

Legal Implications and Risk Assessment Statement

There are no legal implications from this decision.


Equality Impacts (Consideration of impacts under the Public Sector Equality Duty)

Question	Answer	Explanation / Evidence
a. Does the decision being made or recommended through this paper have potential to	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The application, from Mill Cottage, Shoreham, is a private house. The Repair & Renew Grant is open to all

When completed a copy of this record must be sent to the Democratic Services Section by e-mail and a signed copy by internal mail

(For Democratic Services use)

Decision Number: 45 (2014/15)

Question	Answer	Explanation / Evidence
disadvantage or discriminate against different groups in the community?		properties that were flooded between 1/12/13 and 31/3/14, regardless of the status of the owner.
b. Does the decision being made or recommended through this paper have the potential to promote equality of opportunity?	Yes	
c. What steps can be taken to mitigate, reduce, avoid or minimise the impacts identified above?		No mitigations required
Local Member (s), other Portfolio Holders and/or Directors/Heads of Service Consulted None		
Details of any conflicts of interest a) declared by any executive member who is consulted by the Decision Taker None		
b) and any details of dispensations granted by the Chief Executive in respect of any declared conflict None		
Decision taken by:	Portfolio Holder for Economic & Community Development	
Signed by Portfolio Holder		
Date of Decision	8/1/15	
Record made by:	George Lewis	
Date of record:	9 January 2015	

When completed a copy of this record must be sent to the Democratic Services Section by e-mail and a signed copy by internal mail