

Appendix A – Consultation responses and summaries

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
Chapter 3 – Background				
GT0128	Christine Lane	Edenbridge Town Council	Support	* Recognises the importance of provision * Need to prevent unauthorised development
Main aims and objectives				
GT023	Elvina Laird		Support	* Supports private sites
GT0129	Christine Lane	Edenbridge Town Council	Object	* Objectives do not reflect a fair policy of equal distribution across the district * Policy B of PPTS states the protection of the Greenbelt * Presumption that Greenbelt was considered before urban areas * Can not expand the existing areas as its unsustainable
GT0320	Giles Bergne		Observations	* Abolition of the SE Plan * London should help the needs on the outskirts of its region * 93% Greenbelt * More research into G&Ts required * Clarification from Government required
GT0349	Cllr John Edwards-Winser		Observations	* The basic principle of finding fixed accommodation for the G&T population is sound. * totally disagree with the approach taken by the Sevenoaks District Council in trying to fulfil the requirement in full in the first tranche. * Both the Government and the Objectives of this Document (3.7) makes it quite clear that a step-by-step approach is recommended, with the local authorities having a planned approach to fill the requirement on a rolling programme between 2012 and 2026. * it enables new sites to be continually put forward and assessed, whilst taking into account changing Government policy, * Policy B of the PPTS and the needs requirement which states that "the requirement should be updated annually and provide a five year supply, find locations for years 6 to 10 and where possible years 11 to 15". So I ask again, why are SDC trying to fulfil the requirement in a single action?
GT0350	Cllr John Edwards-Winser		Observations	* Evidence of co-operation required * Need to put pressure on the neighbouring authorities to comply with Government requirements
GT0469	Tim Miller		Observations	* Against the idea of allocating 72 pitches all in one go * Timelines for each site proposed to ensure clarity/phasing
GT0517	Jennifer Bate	Kent Downs AONB	Object	* AONB & Greenbelt * CROW Act 2000, NPPF para. 9, 113, 115 & 116 * Cites SP6 with sites having no "significant impact" * SDC CS LO8 & SP1 recognises the importance of the AONB * Assessments of sites are not giving AONB highest protection * Wants AONB protection higher than Greenbelt

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
GT0534	Mr N Britten	CPRE - Sevenoaks District Committee	Object	* Support the aim and objectives * Phasing approach not given a reason * Greenbelt & AONB * Believes that all sites are to be allocated in one go
GT0616	Elvina Laird		Observations	* Agrees with the aims of the plan * Other aims should include private sites * New sites should only be considered if they are deemed necessary
GT0628	Sylvester Robert Gardiner		Support	* Agrees with the main aims of the plan * No other additional aims to address in the plan
GT0637	William John Ward		Support	* Agrees with the main aims of the plan * An main to consider - issues of overcrowding on sites (17 pitches on 1 site is too many)
GT0646	Stacey McCloud		Support	* Agrees with the main aims of the plan * No additional aims to consider
GT0655	Rosie Smith		Observations	* Agrees with the main aims for the plan * Should prioritise the needs and accommodating families, rather than waiting lists * Concerns over the mixing of English with Irish G&Ts on sites
GT0665	Denise Chapman		Support	* Agrees with the aims and objectives * Should have support to getting G&T children into schools - cuts have made this difficult
GT0674	Sherry Lee		Support	* Agrees with the aims of the plan * No additional aims to consider
GT0682	Mercedes Gumble		Support	* Agrees with the aims * No additional aims to consider
GT0886	Russ Clarke		Support	* Agrees with the main aims of the plan * No additional aims to consider
GT0896	David Orpwood		Support	* Agrees with the aims of the plan * Sites should be spaced out across the district
GT0906	Leonard Powell		Support	* Agree with the aims of the plan * Need to consider transient sites
GT0916	Helena Simmons		Support	* Agrees with the main aims of the plan * No additional aims to consider
Chapter 5 – Preparing the Gypsy and Traveller site options consultation document				
GT011	Keith Balderson	Ladybird Studios	Observations	* Brownfield sites need to be considered first * Security concerns with Fort Halstead * Pressure on local infrastructure * Lack of public transport * Suggested Park'n'Ride as additional site
GT0150	J Meade		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB * SSSI * SDC should be responding to Gov. policy * Provision for affordable housing should take priority over G&T sites

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
GT0179	Claire Farrington		Object	* Strongly object
GT0191	R Perrin		Support	* GTAA figure of 72 cannot be precise, it is a guideline * Need to plan for a larger provision to give flexibility * No need to keep "searching" for sites if enough have come forward * if no sites in the built confines are put forward green belt should be used * Unlikely to be able to distribute need to adjoining authorities via the duty to co-operate
GT0215	Bob Wallis	Swanley Village Residents Association	Object	* Pitches should be evenly distributed in line with the housing distribution set out in the Core Strategy, pitches must be found in Sevenoaks Urban Area * Swanley Village is a smaller village or hamlet * the Core Strategy states that the green belt will be protected * Call for sites did not include asking landowners to put forward land, a more proactive approach should be taken
GT024	Darrington		Object	* Uneven & unfair distribution of sites within the district
GT031	D. E. Oram		Observations	* Concerns over management/responsibility of sites * Contracts/tenancies should be used to manage sites
GT0409	John Lister	Natural England	Observations	* Happy with criteria for Location/Key Constraints * Fort Halstead recognises the issue the Kent Downs AONB/woodland/wildlife sites * Concerns over ancient woodland No direct or in-direct impacts on SSSIs
GT0533	Robert & Heather Wilson		Object	* Uneven distribution of sites across the district * Limited local facilities & amenities * Questions the accuracy & legitimacy of the GTAA by Salford University * Concerns on the size of the development * Difference between decisions made for G&T sites v. homeowners in the Greenbelt
Consultation question – Evidence base				
GT0130	Christine Lane	Edenbridge Town Council	Support	* Support
GT0131	Christine Lane	Edenbridge Town Council	Support	* Support
GT0275	Tracy Lane	Hextable Parish Council	Object	* Asks for the 5 year supply of G&T sites to be annually reviewed * Uneven distribution of sites across the district * Concern over the amount of sites proposed in one go (72 pitches) * Attachments: HPC Minutes (26/6) & Cllr Morris email correspondence
GT0329	Giles Bergne		Object	* G&T sites have a different criteria v. affordable/social housing * Questions validity of GTAA
GT0351	Cllr John Edwards-Winser		Object	* Assessment is not robust as it is based on a single set of figures presented by a single company. * Was the assessment put out to Tender? * Figures do not match the SE Plan figures - does not appear to be challenged by SDC * Information about "fixed" accommodation figure not included in

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
				Salford Study. * Indeed none of the figures presented by Salford appear to have been challenged by SDC!
GT0352	Cllr John Edwards-Winser		Observations	* Not really - the planning definition needs to be reassessed and as I understand the situation is soon to be reassessed by Central Government. For example, how can somebody that lives in a permanent building and does not travel be classed as a G&T?
GT0471	Tim Miller		Object	* Concerns with GTAA * Emphasis of results placed on past trends * Lacking details of officers consulted * Only takes into account authorised sites, not unauthorised sites
GT0617	Elvina Laird		Object	* Believes 72 pitches is not enough as the G&T community is growing * Council is not right to plan for the G&T definition as travelling doesn't change the culture
GT0619	Elvina Laird		Object	* 72 pitches is not enough as the G&T community is expanding * Council not right to plan for the G&T planning definition
GT062	Ann Palmer		Object	* Critical of the methodology of the Salford study * Finding from the Census 2011 not taken into account * Attachment: Image (screenshot) of a thread conversation between Gavin Williamson MP & Julian Sturdy MP discussing the Salford GTAA
GT0629	Sylvester Robert Gardiner		Observations	* 72 pitches isn't enough - suggests 200 to 300 pitches is more appropriate * Council right to plan for the G&T definition
GT0638	William John Ward		Observations	* Believes 72 pitches is more than adequate * No comment on the G&T definition
GT0647	Stacey McCloud		Support	* Agrees that 72 pitches is an appropriate number * Council correct in planning for the G&T planning definition
GT0656	Rosie Smith		Observations	* Believe that 72 pitches is not enough to accommodate the need * Council right to plan for the definition of G&Ts
GT0666	Denise Chapman		Support with Conditions	* Agrees with making a plan for those who fit the planning definition * Unsure on the number of additional sites required
GT0675	Sherry Lee		Support	* Agrees with amount of pitches put forward * Agrees with meeting the planning definition
GT0683	Mercedes Gumble		Support	* Council right to plan for the planning definition * Agrees with the number of pitches required
GT0887	Russ Clarke		Support	* Agrees with the number of pitches proposed * Agrees with the planning definition of the G&T
GT0897	David		Observations	* Not enough pitches proposed * Compromise with larger families for sites & pitches * Agrees

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
	Orpwood			with the definition, but notes that different G&T communities have their differences
GT0907	Leonard Powell		Observations	* Unsure about the no. of pitches as G&T community is becoming more settled * Should plan for the planning definition of G&Ts * Recognised settle communities as children attend local schools
GT0917	Helena Simmons		Observations	* Too many pitches proposed as people aren't travelling anymore * Right to plan according to the planning definition
Consultation question – Duty to cooperate				
GT0132	Christine Lane	Edenbridge Town Council	Support	* Assists SDC in finding suitable locations and meeting the identified need * Location of official site at Romani Way
GT0166	Liz Piggott	Swanley Town Council	Object	* Sites should be distributed more evenly across the District * Recent incidents within the town have caused some problems
GT0267	Paul Newdick	Tandridge District Council	Observations	* Extremely unlikely to be able to assist Sevenoaks * Tandridge is likely to have difficulty finding sites for its own needs.
GT0319	Jennie Paterson	Bexley District Council	Observations	* No identified capacity with Bexley Borough and therefore unable to assist * Willing to attend Duty to Co-operate meetings in the future
GT0339	Sian Morley	Gravesham Borough Council	Observations	* Will commence on identifying land to meet Gravesham's needs following adoption of the Core Strategy * SDC appear to have identified enough land for pitches, therefore it is not clear that the requirement can't be met within the District. * Gravesham is not intending to take any of SDCs identified need.
GT0354	Cllr John Edwards-Winser		Observations	* I take this to mean the Local Authority to be the Town or Parish Council. The original response to a call for sites met with a zero return. However, following the issue of this recent documentation, the local Parishes have put forward numerous locations, which apparently will be evaluated by SDC only after the current tranche of 72 sites have been allocated, which makes the exercise of suggesting further sites superfluous. "Shutting the stable door after the horse has bolted" springs to mind.
GT0491	Ian Bailey	Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council	Observations	* TMBC will have to fulfil an additional need for pitches * Local Plan hope to be adopted by 2016 * Currently working on GTAA evidence base * 70% of borough designated as MGB * Have conducted a early call for sites exercise * Too early to say if TMBC can help SDC
GT0535	Mr N Britten	CPRE - Sevenoaks District Committee	Observations	* Unsure on the successes of Duty to Co-operate * Cites TMBC GTAA 2012 with Duty to Co-operate as a factor to meet SDC's 40 pitches for 2012-16
GT0563	Mr Duncan Morrison	Wealden District Council	Observations	* Identified 23 pitches required up to 2016 - beyond 2016 it is unclear * Currently undertaking a GTAA for up to 2027 (Core Strategy period) * Planning restrictions including Ashdown Forest

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
				SPA/SAC and the Pevensey Levels Ramsar site (EU protected) * Will be unable to assist SDC * Further research into the lifestyles of G&Ts would provide a better picture on G&T needs * WDC not considering sites for G&T allocation at this time
Chapter 6 – Site assessment criteria				
GT0135	Christine Lane	Edenbridge Town Council	Observations	* In line with the NPPF
GT0192	R Perrin		Support	* Reasonable to allocate sites in the Green Belt as no non-green belt sites have some forward
GT0518	D Hughes		Object	* Happy with aims & methodology yet unclear on their compliance in relation to National Policy * Suggests sequential testing to find appropriate sites * AONB * Sites should not be deemed as having "no impact" on an area * Details of skills (Obj. 13) should be made known
GT0536	Mr N Britten	CPRE - Sevenoaks District Committee	Object	* Focusing on the impacts of the Greenbelt * Cites Brandon Lewis on whether G&T sites justify inappropriate development within the Greenbelt * Understands SDC's position and constrained by 93% Greenbelt
GT0537	Mr N Britten	CPRE - Sevenoaks District Committee	Object	* AONB * CPRE sees AONB as the last resort when determining sites providing it complies with SP6
GT0618	Elvina Laird		Support with Conditions	* Agree with the criteria used for the site assessments * No other criteria to consider * Happy with the way sites have been found
GT0620	Elvina Laird		Support	* Agrees with the criteria used * No additional criteria for consideration
Consultation question – Criteria				
GT0133	Christine Lane	Edenbridge Town Council	Support	* Support
GT0134	Christine Lane	Edenbridge Town Council	Observations	* Application of other developments are required to comply with the NPPF
GT0333	Giles Bergne		Observations	* Costs of enforcement on unauthorised sites * Economic impacts on the surrounding areas * Impacts on natural assets * Mitigation of health concerns at potential sites i.e. noise/dust for children's health
GT0356	Cllr John Edwards-Winser		Observations	* Yes. Nowhere in the report does the effect on the settled G&T communities reflect the placement or intrusion of extra G&T's being imposed on them. It is well known that the G&T communities are a fairly closed community and do not allow "mixing" of some families, so expansion of some of the current sites is a little tenuous. The effect on the more general settled communities has also been totally ignored.

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
GT0358	Cllr John Edwards-Winser		Observations	* To which criteria are we referring? All of the criteria should have been allocated a weighting factor BEFORE the consultation document was released and certainly before the responses are examined. I would appreciate sight of such documentation at the earliest opportunity.
GT0359	Cllr John Edwards-Winser		Object	* No - there is no future provision as this is a one shot approach up to 2026. In order to comply with Government guidelines, the whole exercise should be set up as a five year rolling programme, taking the recently recommended new sites into consideration during this process.
GT0441	Sarah Harrison	Southern Water	Observations	* Consideration needs to be given to additional criteria * Odour and amenity to existing & future developments * Connections to the sewerage networks for new developments
GT0481	Tim Miller		Object	* Agrees with key constraints and assessment criteria * Impacts on residential land use values for future development * Planning histories to be disclosed for each site
GT0519	Jennifer Bate	Kent Downs AONB	Object	* Not suitable criteria * Large sites not suitable for AONB * NPPF defines AONB as high level of protection * Criteria should read "The site is not located in the Greenbelt or the AONB" * Should be protected & enhanced * Attachment: KDAONB response (doc.) on Chapter 6
GT063	Ann Palmer		Object	* Road infrastructure concerns * AONB * G&T sites have different priorities v. homeowners in the Greenbelt/AONB * Road safety concerns
GT0630	Sylvester Robert Gardiner		Support	* Agrees with the criteria put forward * No additional criteria should be considered
GT0639	William John Ward		Observations	* Agrees that the criteria is suitable * Additional criteria to consider - keep to a minimum number of trailers per site for easier control (20 max) * No additional comments
GT0648	Stacey McCloud		Support	* Agrees with the criteria * No additional criteria to consider
GT0657	Rosie Smith		Observations	* Agrees with the criteria used * Concerns over the mixing of English & Irish G&Ts * No further comments
GT0667	Denise Chapman		Support	* Agrees with the criteria * No additional criteria to consider
GT0676	Sherry Lee		Support	* Agrees with the criteria used * No additional criteria to consider
GT0684	Mercedes Gumble		Support	* Agree with the criteria * No additional criteria to be considered
GT0888	Russ Clarke		Support	* Agrees with the criteria used * No additional criteria to consider

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
GT0898	David Orpwood		Observations	* Agrees with the criteria used * Believes that families should be kept together and no broken up
GT0908	Leonard Powell		Support	* Agree with the criteria used * No additional criteria to be considered
GT0918	Helena Simmons		Support	* Agree with the criteria used * No additional criteria to consider
Consultation question – Approach to meeting pitch requirements				
GT0136	Christine Lane	Edenbridge Town Council	Observations	* Needs to be balance across the district for the proposal
GT0344	Giles Bergne		Observations	* SDC should buy land suitable, as opposed to private developers
GT0361	Cllr John Edwards-Winser		Observations	* Yes - follow the Government and NPPG suggestions of phased supply of sites.
GT0482	Tim Miller		Support with Conditions	* New sites better than extending existing sites
GT0520	Jennifer Bate	Kent Downs AONB	Object	* Not giving enough protection to AONB & Greenbelt * Does not reflect CROW Act 2000 or NPPF * Criteria should include mitigation conservation & enhancement * Only permitted in AONB if all other options are not viable * Council to use Compulsory Purchase Powers towards finding additional pitches
GT0567	Tracy Lane	Hextable Parish Council	Object	* Focuses on balanced communities and cohesion * Not in keeping with the local character * Touches upon equality issues - highlights a number of incidents within the village * Greenbelt & AONB * Allocating G&T accommodation within housing sites * Uneven distribution of sites across the district * Attachments: HPC Minutes (26/06) & Cllr Morris email correspondence
GT0621	Elvina Laird		Support	* Happy with how the sites were identified
GT0631	Sylvester Robert Gardiner		Support	* Happy with how sites were identified
GT0640	William John Ward		Support	* Happy with how sites were identified
GT0649	Stacey McCloud		Support	* Happy with how the sites were identified

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
GT0658	Rosie Smith		Support	* Happy with how the sites were identified
GT0668	Denise Chapman		Support	* Happy with how the sites were located
GT0677	Sherry Lee		Support	* Happy with how sites were identified
GT0685	Mercedes Gumble		Observations	* Happy with how the sites were identified
GT0889	Russ Clarke		Support	* happy with how the sites were identified
GT0899	David Orpwood		Support	* Happy with the way sites were found
GT0909	Leonard Powell		Support	* happy with how the sites were identified
GT0919	Helena Simmons		Support	* Happy with how sites were identified
Chapter 7 – Potential site options				
GT0100	Nigel Sivyer		Object	* Comments sites around Sevenoaks are viable but no included * Uneven distribution of sites within the district
GT0102	Janet Hill		Object	* AONB & Greenbelt * Pressure on local infrastructure
GT0104	John Isherwood		Object	* Close proximity to settled households * Uneven spread of sites across the district * Unfair approach in distribution * Enough sites in the area already * Suggests Wilderness Golf Club, Penshurst Place & Knole Park as alternative sites
GT0109	Mr Layberry		Observations	* Experience of large pitches (3+ pitches) are difficult to manage * Comments on financials of occupants * Knockholt School over subscribed * Pressure on limited local resources & amenities
GT0127	Lynda Harrison	West Kingsdown Parish Council	Observations	* Notes the uneven distribution of sites within the district * Enough sites in the area already
GT015	Sue Beisley		Object	* Uneven & unfair distribution of sites within the district
GT019	Carolyn Hunt		Support	* Supports proposal
GT0207	Roger Smith		Object	* Need to control how many caravans on site * Why so many sites in Swanley? * Why no sites in Sevenoaks Town?
GT0208	Bob Wallis	Swanley Village Residents	Object	* New call for sites should be undertaken parallel with a identification of sites by SDC * Sites

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
		Association		should be evenly distributed across the District * Additional public consultation sessions are various times in affected places * Additional assessments undertaken on site including analysis of impact on local services and facilities * Proposals should be measured against best practice * Restart process in line with the Core Strategy * Attachment: Swanley Village Residents Association (SVRA) letter of objection
GT0211	R Perrin		Support	* More appropriate to plan for 80 pitches * Should grant permanent permissions whilst the document progresses
GT0212	P Littlefield		Object	* Need better distribution of sites across the District
GT0213	Bob Wallis	Swanley Village Residents Association	Object	* There are many sites affecting Swanley Village * Attachment: Swanley Village Resident's Association (SVRA) letter of objection
GT022	Jim Newman		Object	* Uneven & unfair distribution of sites within the district
GT0231	Peter Kasch		Object	* Imbalance of sites across the District due to sites which have been put forward. * SDC did not compulsory purchase sites or buy on the open market which limits sites. * Need to take more time to plan properly to achieve a balanced result
GT0233	J Day		Object	* It seems to be a disproportionate amount of sites in the area of West Kingsdown, Swanley & Knockholt and more in the Sevenoaks, Westerham and Edenbridge areas.
GT0235	Grace Richardson		Object	* Need to control numbers of pitches on the site * Large number of pitches for Swanley
GT0240	Mr & Mrs Marsh		Observations	* Uneven & unfair distribution of sites within the district
GT0242	J.E. Lamb		Object	* Enough sites in the area already * Uneven & unfair distribution of sites in the district
GT025	Robert Whincap		Object	* Concerns with the reliability & validity of the GTAA Salford study * SDC should have scrutinised the GTAA and questioned it fully * Respondent concludes only 52 pitches over the timeframe are required, not 72 * SDC have responsibility to carry out its duties in a responsible and effective manner - clearly not doing this according to respondent
GT0257	John Pettyfer		Object	* Too many sites in the north of the district * Infrastructure in north already stretched * Reuse brownfield sites * Sites should be closer to Sevenoaks Town
GT0259	Leslie C E Robus		Observations	* Uneven & unfair distribution of sites in the district * Lack of amenities in village areas * Traveller sites need to be located near three main towns in the district
GT026	Paul Cakebread		Object	* Comments regarding the lack of communication for the public meeting (2pm) * Comments regarding stereotypical behaviour regarding travellers * Uneven & unfair distribution of sites within

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
				the district
GT0262	Glenn Black	Fawkham Parish Council	Object	* concern that most of the proposed sites are in the north of the area administered by Sevenoaks District Council
GT0265	Claire Godfrey		Support	* Support the Councils view on the best options for gipsy and traveller sites. * Agree with the council on the unsuitable site options.
GT0273	Peter & Sue Moris		Observations	* Would request high mesh fencing around sites to prevent litter and rubbish blown into fields and nearby gardens also local footpaths.
GT0276	Sue Cameron		Object	* Sites unfairly concentrated in Swanley * Heavy burden on local facilities and schools * Sites should be relocated and spread out
GT0281	Michael & Ronnie Barnard		Object	* No need for more sites in the Knockholt/Halstead area * Object to more sites and more pitches
GT0284	P Wells		Object	* Investigate unauthorised sites to see if they could be authorised * Local infrastructure cannot cope * Traffic and highways issues due to narrow lanes * Local school already oversubscribed * GP surgeries are oversubscribed * Should have had the Swanley drop in session at a better time * More consultation needed across Sevenoaks to achieve more even placement of sites with comprehensive assessment of sites.
GT0289	David Stone		Object	* Disproportionate number of sites in the north of the district * Should be spread more evenly
GT0295	Matthew & Tracy Barber		Object	* Need better distribution of sites * Site requirement should be shared throughout the region
GT0296	Hepworth		Object	* Nuisance from existing site
GT0298	Christina Heath		Object	* General objection * Concerns over public safety
GT0316	Lynda Barham		Object	* Spread of sites uneven across the district
GT0317	Kenneth & Jacqueline Butts		Object	* No need for an additional site in area * All sites are in the North
GT0321	Dennis Molyneux		Object	* Unfairly concentrated in the North, almost nothing in Sevenoaks * Public meeting organised at a time when people were at work or picking up children from school * Essential information about impact on schools has not been provided * Must take into account history of other sites

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
GT0325	Kathryn Gower		Object	* Local amenities will be over-burdened * 3 sites within the area already concern over the concentration of sites in the North * Concerns over public meetings during work hours and not the evening
GT0328	Vernon King		Object	* Should not have allowed additional time for sites to be put forward * Edenbridge has already made contribution, other areas need to take their fair share * Requests evening drop in sessions in future
GT0336	Alison De Jager	Ash-cum-Ridley Parish Council	Object	* Objection to further development outside of the plan once adopted
GT037	Jean Charles		Object	* Uneven & unfair distribution of sites within the district
GT0377	Karen & Andy Phillips		Object	* Concerns over the local provision of services
GT0381	N H Manton		Object	* Almost all sites are in the North, unacceptable bias.
GT0383	Ralph Abbott		Object	* Definition of a "traveller" is due to change * Irresponsible to press ahead * Attachment: Letters to respondent from Michael Fallon & Brandon Lewis
GT0385	Stephen E Hubble		Object	* Comments on the uneven nature of the proposed distribution of sites
GT0389	Bruce Balfour		Object	* Comment on other proposals within the consultation document i.e. Knockholt Station * Comment on the uneven distribution across the SDC region
GT039	Tania Waller		Object	* Uneven & unfair distribution of sites within the district
GT040	Chris Prestedge		Object	* Unfair & uneven distribution of sites within the district
GT0400	Barbara Morris	Crockenhill Parish Council	Object	* Notes concentration of sites in the north of SDC * Concerns over cost of additional infrastructure development i.e. sewerage/water/waste disposal * Management of sites once granted and established * Questions the expansion of SDC/KCC run sites as a viable option
GT0418	S McGlashan		Object	* Concentration of sites in the north of the district * Ratio of proposed pitches to the village size unsuitable
GT0425	Gillian King Scott	Halstead Parish Council	Object	* Validity and quality of the Salford study called into question * Concerns over methodology used in the study * SDC is not required to produce additional pitches in one go * Recognises Greenbelt/AONB constraints but notes development shouldn't happen * Unsatisfactory distribution of sites within the district

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
GT0429	Trevor Norman		Object	* Concentration of sites in the northern parts of the District * Infrastructure would be better nearer larger towns i.e. Sevenoaks, Westerham * Consultation timing (2pm) was a poor decision to try and include local residents
GT0430	Trevor Norman		Object	* Lack/pressure on local amenities * Distribution of proposed site across the district unfair * The 2pm timing of the consultation unfair to residents
GT0434	Daphne & Geoffrey Davis		Object	* Uneven and unfair distribution of sites proposed * Limited facilities & amenities to accommodate the proposal * Existing heavy flows of traffic though the village
GT0440	Rachel Wallis		Object	* Unhappy with public consultation, especially the timing for Swanley * Uneven distribution of sites throughout the district * Pressure on local services & infrastructure * Narrow country roads posing as risk to safety * Notes brownfield sites in/around Sevenoaks for consideration as they are seen to be more appropriate
GT0447	J Smith		Object	* Uneven distribution of sites across the district * Landowner issues and management * Concerns on animal welfare were raised in the comment
GT0450	Elaine & Mike Brown		Object	* Uneven distribution across the district * Pressure on local amenities/services * Narrow roads causing a hazard
GT0475	Peter & Jennifer Nicholls		Observations	* Information on site ownership should be made available to the public at this stage * Suggests a suitable distance should be taken into account between sites
GT048	B W Stock		Object	* Uneven & unfair distribution of sites within the district * Site details not publicised enough prior to the meeting
GT0480	Andy Gray		Object	* Uneven distribution of sites within the district * Impact on local infrastructure & amenities * Some sites have not been included - referring to unofficial sites?
GT0487	Jennifer Wilson	Environment Agency	Observations	* Concerns over groundwater quality * Concerns over contaminated land * Sewerage needs to be taken into account
GT049	Judy Brickell		Object	* Enough sites in the area already * Pressure on limited local facilities & amenities * Uneven & unfair distribution of sites across the district
GT0490	Vanessa Evans	Kent Wildlife Trust	Object	* Objects to all sites proposed * Greenbelt & AONB * Ancient woodland * Wildlife sites under threat * Impact on grazing needs to be assessed
GT0516	D Hughes		Object	* Concerns over deliverability as the Plan seems to have no flexibility in additional sites coming forward * Understands high proportion of sites in Greenbelt * Sites from Greenbelt should be

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
				removed * Uneven distribution of sites within the district * Detailed assessments for potential areas
GT0532	Louise Kleinschmidt	Chiddingstone Parish Council	Support	* Support of the consultation document
GT0538	Louise Kleinschmidt	Leigh Parish Council	Support	* No suitable sites in Leigh * Supports the site options put forward
GT055	Mike Spurgeon		Object	* Enough sites in the site already * Uneven & unfair distribution of sites within the district
GT0574	Deborah Chapman		Observations	* Unfair & uneven distribution of sites across the district * Heavy concentration in the northern parts of the district
GT065	S Tiller		Object	* Unhappy about the timing of the meeting (2pm) * Uneven & unfair distribution of sites within the district * Sites should be spread through out the County * Comments on a number of activities stereotypical to travellers
GT070	Julie Payne		Object	* Unfair & uneven distribution of sites within the district * Greenbelt * Brownfields need to be accounted for first
GT079	Trevor Norman		Observations	* Unfair & uneven distribution of sites within the district * Need to account for "illegal" sites - can these be made temp. or perm?
GT08	Victor Southern		Object	* Uneven & unfair distribution of sites within the district * Enough sites in the area already * Most established G&T community members are in brick & mortar housing
GT083	John Dolan		Observations	* Agrees with extensions to existing sites * Uneven distribution of sites within the district * Concentrated in the north
GT0895	Russ Clarke		Observations	* The council needs to concentrate on providing permanent sites for families who have children, as children will need their own accommodation as they get older. * the need for more space and that they have the land to accommodate 4 more pitches which would be for family only. * The family has a very good relationship with their neighbours who even helped when they applied for permanent planning consent previously.
GT0905	David Orpwood		Observations	* Do not have big sites - causes trouble and isn't good for local community. * There should always be a yard off site for work vehicles. * With own site they would very much like to have permanent planning consent. Temporary consent very unsettling for whole family. Would like 4 additional pitches which would just be for family.
GT0915	Leonard		Object	* Want the children to have a good education so are happy in the area and want to stay. * Sites to

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
	Powell			work better when privately owned. * Family would be happy to develop and maintain site themselves if extra pitches were allowed.
Consultation question – Site assessments				
GT0110	David Russell		Object	* Enough sites in the area already * Uneven & unfair distribution of sites in the district * Cannot foresee people proposing additional sites
GT0137	Christine Lane	Edenbridge Town Council	Observations	* Opinion 7Acres Farm should remain temporary until a more suitable site is found * All proposed sites in Greenbelt * Aim 3.9 of Plan ignored due to not "value to respect the needs of settled communities" * No urban sites proposed in the proposal * Site assessments are flawed due to uneven distribution
GT0138	Christine Lane	Edenbridge Town Council	Support	* Support
GT0139	Christine Lane	Edenbridge Town Council	Observations	* Object
GT0214	Bob Wallis	Swanley Village Residents Association	Object	* Impact of increased population on Swanley Village * Additional traffic, impact on pedestrians due to no pavements and narrow roads * Need to assess sites for impact on local services and facilities * School is full * No GP surgeries in Swanley Village * Need to take account of recent housing development * Swanley Village has high levels of deprivation * Assessments should use the DCLG Good Practice Guide * Issues include flooding (Access to Lower Road is via a road which frequently floods, Button street is frequently subject to flooding) * Need to be within walking distance of shops and schools * Impact on Swanley Village needs to be taken into account
GT0362	Cllr John Edwards-Winser		Observations	* Some of them are entirely suitable, but the larger sites are unsuitable as in general, they are not what the G&T community want. The Shoreham site is one of these and my reasons for objecting to the Shoreham site are contained within this document.
GT0363	Cllr John Edwards-Winser		Observations	* Some of the sites have far too many pitches allocated to them. More sites with fewer pitches would be much more acceptable to both the settled and the G&T communities. By having large sites SDC is complicit in creating ghettos.
GT0364	Cllr John Edwards-Winser		Object	* Assuming that "residential" is referring to the G&T community, the answer is No - current planning policy appears to ignore this aspect for the settled community, so leave the site provision as it is.
GT0484	Tim Miller		Object	* Proposed sites are not adequately provided with access to local facilities & amenities * Site provision should be made for visitors * Definition of traveller needs to be clarified
GT0622	Elvina Laird		Support with	* Agree with the initial site assessments * Believes that 2 new sites is excessive * Believes that

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
			Conditions	Fort Halstead could accommodate 20 additional pitches * Agrees with space for visitors for parking only
GT0632	Sylvester Robert Gardiner		Observations	* Disagrees with the initial site assessments - Shoreham site due to access, out of character with local area and size inappropriate * Larger sites are more difficult to manage - SDC should keep sites small so its easier to manage * Concerns on the abuse of visitor spaces and people not moving on
GT0641	William John Ward		Observations	* Agrees with the initial site assessments * Number of pitches per site proposed acceptable (under 20 pitches) * Should include space for visitors for parking * Believes G&T community should have the opportunity to purchase land for sites
GT0650	Stacey McCloud		Observations	* Disagrees with the initial site assessments locations * Number of pitches per site is acceptable * Should include spaces for visitors
GT0659	Rosie Smith		Observations	* Agrees with the initial site assessments * Number of pitches per site is acceptable * Spaces for visitors should be considered * Mixing of English & Irish G&Ts an issue
GT0664	Rosie Smith		Observations	* Hever Road: Blind corner at Pitch 16, very dangerous for pedestrians and safety for children. * Need speed bumps and signage.
GT0669	Denise Chapman		Support	* Potential impacts from additional sites but would be minimal * Spaces of visitors would be beneficial * Unsure about the number of pitches per site on offer
GT0678	Sherry Lee		Support with Conditions	* Agree with the initial site assessments * Agree with the number of pitches per site * No additional spaces for visitors
GT0686	Mercedes Gumble		Support with Conditions	* Agree with the number of pitches proposed at each site * Agree with initial site assessments * No visitor spaces needed
GT0890	Russ Clarke		Support	* Agrees with initial site assessments * Agrees with no. of pitches per site, but would like to see more done for provision of family pitches/sites * Could be useful to have space for visitors but no strong views on this
GT0900	David Orpwood		Object	* Concentration in the north, which will result in issues between sites * The number of pitches per site should be reduced to 5/6 to make sites more manageable * Visitor sites may invite people that may not want to move on after their visit
GT0910	Leonard Powell		Observations	* Disagree with the initial site assessments * Sites should have a small number of pitches * Enforce time limits on visitor spaces i.e. 1 month
GT0920	Helena Simmons		Object	* Disagrees with initial site assessments * The new proposed pitches are too large at 15 pitches * No space for visitors should be provided

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
Consultation question – Additional sites				
GT013	Mr & Mrs Brown		Observations	* Proposed site at Vestry Rd as potential additional site
GT0140	Christine Lane	Edenbridge Town Council	Observations	* Currently no additional sites deemed suitable
GT0152	R. A. Manville		Observations	* Pressure on local school places * Suggests land between Sundridge & Chevening near M25 * Land between Sevenoaks Weald, Riverhill & Underriver
GT0157	Julie Courtney		Observations	* Additional sites: * Bartrams Farm on Old Otford Rd. Owner interested in selling the site after failed planning app. (SE/13/01678/FUL) * Morleys roundabout at the foot of Riverhill * Household waste site on Otford Rd. after decontamination
GT0193	R Perrin		Support	* Additional 3 pitches at Malt House Farm
GT0246	Mr & Mrs Rae		Observations	* Former waste disposal site at Bat and Ball * "Panhandle" behind the former pohill arms - owned by SDC and Highways * Expansion of Polhill park * Former chalk pit on Lime Pit Lane
GT0297	Sam & Emma Dighton		Observations	* Time Trailers, Button Street Swanley - existing 2 pitches to be permanent and additional 9 pitches proposed
GT0347	Giles Bergne		Observations	* Pedham Golf club * Compulsory purchase * Current temporary private G&T site * Accessible
GT0365	Cllr John Edwards-Winser		Object	* There have already been more than enough suggested in my Ward (Shoreham & Otford).by myself, Cllr Lowe and residents.
GT0386	Stephen E Hubble		Object	* Consideration given to brownfield sites that the Council could use in the site options as they have no Greenbelt/AONB/infrastructure constraints
GT0390	Bruce Balfour		Observations	* Comment on Polhill provision - increase provision
GT0392	Peter Knight		Object	* Road schemes have left small parcels of land across district, these should be considered * Shoreham woods, areas such as south of car parking in Andrews Wood infinitely preferable to the village site.
GT0579	Angus Murdoch	Murdoch Planning Limited	Observations	* Sites proposed 1) Land adjacent Broomhill, Button Street, Swanley (owned by Mr and Mrs Dighton and Collins). 2) Land at Park Lane, Swanley Village (owned by Mr and Mrs John Clarke)
GT0580	Ann Palmer		Observations	* Recommends Dryhill Park, Sunridge as a potential additional site
GT0581	Hugh D'Alton		Support	* Proposing Park&Ride Otford as additional site

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
GT0582	Silvia Smith		Observations	* Offering 3 sites at Footpath Bungalow Nursery
GT0586	Giles Bergne		Observations	* Pedham Place golf club
GT0596	Gill Slater	Bromley Borough Council	Observations	* No additional sites
GT0600	R Howe		Observations	* Bat & Ball site suggested
GT0601	Len & George Lennard		Observations	* Also own Coldharbour farm - willing to expand the site also to the A20
GT0602	Jeff Haskins	bhd Architects	Observations	* Proposed new site at Fairhavens, Mussenden Lane, Horton Kirby * Landowners put site forward * Could accommodate 5/6 pitches for mobile homes * Connected to electricity, drainage & water mains already * Woodland to the East of site
GT0610	Simon Ruston	Ruston Planning Limited	Observations	* No suitable additional sites to mention
GT0623	Elvina Laird		Observations	* Valley Park could accommodate 5 additional pitches (as proposed) * Additional pitches could be accommodated in the horse field
GT0633	Sylvester Robert Gardiner		Observations	* Bournemouth Brickworks * Could take 5 additional pitches now - 2 more additional over the next 7 years * Previous planning has been refused due to Greenbelt * Brownfield site previously developed 1930/50s brick yard
GT0642	William John Ward		Observations	* No additional sites to suggest
GT0651	Stacey McCloud		Observations	* No additional sites for consideration
GT0660	Rosie Smith		Observations	* No additional sites put forward
GT0670	Denise Chapman		Support	* Potential extension to Eagles Farm * Potential 2 additional pitches
GT0679	Sherry Lee		Observations	* No additional sites put forward
GT0687	Mercedes Gumble		Observations	* No additional sites put forward
GT0696	Albert and Amy Coates		Observations	* No space for additional sites at the moment
GT0706	Teresa Nolan		Observations	* Hockenden Lane * Could accommodate an additional 2 pitches
GT0716	Sally Brown		Observations	* Owns land yet no opportunity to expand (Macandy)

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
GT0727	John & Sharon Clarke		Support	* Owns Park Lane * Could accommodate additional 6 pitches for family only * Would require 2 additional utility sheds if additional pitches were granted
GT0737	Nelson Ambrose Ridley		Support	* Planning permission in for 1 additional pitch (for family member only) (EARLY AUTUMN SITE) * Neighbours object to permanent pitches for the public - support only for family use
GT0804	Maria Buckley		Observations	* No suggestion for additional sites
GT0823	Jane Dunn		Observations	* No additional sites to be put forward
GT0833	Louise Brown		Observations	* No additional sites suggested
GT0843	Peter Hare		Observations	* No additional pitches at Barnfield Park
GT0853	Celia Eastwood		Observations	* No additional pitches at Barnsfield Park
GT0862	Precilla Eastwood		Observations	* No additional sites
GT0871	Joan Jermine		Observations	* No additional site suggestions
GT0881	Bill Buckley		Observations	* No additional sites to put forward
GT0891	Russ Clarke		Support	* Additional pitches at Two Barns * Have 6 acres of land and currently whole family are living in 1 mobile home. * Would like permission for 4 more pitches which would be for family only.
GT0901	David Orpwood		Support	* Forwood Farm (private site) * Could accommodate additional four pitches * Could integrate future developments into the local scenery
GT0911	Leonard Powell		Support	* Hilltop Farm (private) * Additional 5+ pitches * Would improve screening for development if approved
GT0912	Leonard Powell		Object	* Disagree with provision for TS pitches
GT0921	Helena Simmons		Support	* Station Court, Knockholt Station * Additional 6 pitches for non - family
GT0925	Helena Simmons		Observations	* Current planning permission to go temp to perm. Would introduce more screening if this went ahead
Consultation question – Travelling showpeople				
GT0141	Christine	Edenbridge Town Council	Observations	* No identified need

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
	Lane			
GT033	A Hurd		Object	* Pressure on limited local infrastructure * Increased traffic * Uneven & unfair distribution of sites within the district * Attachment: SDC's Statement of Community Involvement (Draft 2014)
GT0366	Cllr John Edwards-Winser		Object	* No. - The showpeople normally stay on site to guard their assets, i.e. fairs
GT0624	Elvina Laird		Observations	* Believes additional capacity should be made for the TS community
GT0634	Sylvester Robert Gardiner		Observations	* No additional provision should be given
GT0643	William John Ward		Observations	* No additional provision for TS community
GT0652	Stacey McCloud		Support	* Provision should be made for the TS community
GT0661	Rosie Smith		Support	* Provision needed for TS community
GT0671	Denise Chapman		Observations	* Don't know enough about their life to answer
GT0672	Denise Chapman		Observations	* Water and electric for amenities. * Shed for washing machine etc. * Large enough space for utility room, trailer and a small garden
GT0680	Sherry Lee		Object	* No need for additional capacity for TS community
GT0688	Mercedes Gumble		Support	* Yes when required
GT0892	Russ Clarke		Support	* Agrees that provision should be made for TS community
GT0902	David Orpwood		Support	* Agrees if there is a need then something should be provided for TS community .
GT0922	Helena Simmons		Object	* No additional capacity for TS community
Consultation question – Design and layout guidance				
GT0142	Christine	Edenbridge Town Council	Observations	* Same criteria for development from NPPF should be applied

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
	Lane			
GT0348	Giles Bergne		Observations	* Design & layout needs to be considered for monitoring
GT0367	Cllr John Edwards-Winser		Observations	* If the design and layout of each site has not already been undertaken, how on earth has the number of pitches allocated to each site been arrived at?
GT0485	Tim Miller		Object	* Concern over lack of design and layout options * Consultation to assess this for each site
GT0522	Jennifer Bate	Kent Downs AONB	Observations	* Large sites inappropriate * Landscape Character assessments should be used in addition to site assessments * Kent Downs AONB Landscape Design Handbook
GT0625	Elvina Laird		Observations	* Separate toilet and shower and utility shed from the kitchen area * Each pitch should have a garden, perhaps have 2 families per pitch. * Room for a vehicle. * Communal play area.
GT0635	Sylvester Robert Gardiner		Observations	* Mobile home, touring caravan, space for 3 vehicles, * space for a day room.
GT0644	William John Ward		Observations	* Space for animals & stables for horses (part of culture to keep animals) * Things like this need to be considered in the planning
GT0653	Stacey McCloud		Observations	* Wash house which is large enough for a washing machine, tumble dryer and a separate bath/shower room, toilet and kitchen. * Stables at the back. * A phone line would be good as mobile phone reception is poor. * Play park and a small garden. Safe pedestrian access and a pathway. * Signs and speed bumps to cars entering site at speed.
GT0662	Rosie Smith		Observations	* Parking. * Play area per site. * Separate caravan and day room/wash house. * Wash house needs to be larger. * Telephone lines and internet access. * Large plot
GT0681	Sherry Lee		Observations	* Day rooms and sheds to be large enough * Would be better if made out of brick, larger separate areas for kitchen with space for a dining table, and a separate shower and toilet
GT0689	Mercedes Gumble		Observations	* Shed, toilet, sink, little bit of space, bit of grass
GT0893	Russ Clarke		Support	* Good outbuildings/washrooms, * good space between pitches, * substantial parking, * lots of room/play areas for children.
GT0903	David Orpwood		Observations	* Good accessibility * Sufficient space on each pitch - caravans can "burn like matchboxes" so shouldn't have them close together. * No need for shower blocks. If families are living there permanently they will have their own bathroom and caravan will be plumbed in. * A couple of parking spots per pitch. * Separate car park for work vehicles (a communal yard) - this will prevent

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
				the site being messed up and contaminated.
GT0913	Leonard Powell		Observations	* Use Barnfield park as an example of good design * stable block for the horses.
GT0923	Helena Simmons		Observations	* Size of sites should be smaller to mitigate impacts on the local community
Consultation question – Rejected site options				
GT0143	Christine Lane	Edenbridge Town Council	Support	* Support
GT0216	Mr & Mrs Martin		Observations	* Revisit claims that Polhill Caravan site cannot accommodate additional pitches
GT0334	Alison De Jager	Ash-cum-Ridley Parish Council	Support	* Supports withdrawal of proposal to Barnfield Park as it is currently twice the size of recommended sites * Supports statement of scale to permitted pitches
GT0368	Cllr John Edwards-Winser		Observations	* Yes, but it's a pity that the other sites recommended for exclusion were not accepted by Cabinet.
GT0626	Elvina Laird		Observations	* Agrees with the rejected site options * Could accommodate an additional 5 pitches if the horses field was able to be extended
GT0636	Sylvester Robert Gardiner		Observations	* No comment on rejected site options
GT0645	William John Ward		Support	* Agrees with the rejected site options
GT0654	Stacey McCloud		Support	* Agrees with the rejected site options
GT0663	Rosie Smith		Observations	* Disagrees with rejected site options - believes Hever Rd could be extended to accommodate additional pitches
GT0673	Denise Chapman		Support	* Support the rejected site options
GT0690	Mercedes Gumble		Support	* Agree with rejected options

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
GT0894	Russ Clarke		Support	* Agrees with rejected site options
GT0904	David Orpwood		Support	* Agrees with rejected site options
GT0914	Leonard Powell		Support	* Agree with rejected site options
GT0924	Helena Simmons		Object	* Believe that Polhill should be expanded by 3 to 6 pitches
Holly Mobile Home Park, Hockenden Lane, Swanley				
GT010	Shanaz Niazi		Object	* General objection
GT0122	R Page		Observations	* AONB * Enough sites in the area already * Impact on local character
GT0217	Chad Nwanosike	Kent Highway Services	Observations	* No material change in highways terms and therefore no objections
GT0303	Mrs Tsang		Object	* There isn't the infrastructure in Swanley to accommodate these plans.
GT0331	Paula J Street		Object	* Is this an EU directive? * Will there be regulation on the number of pitches * All sites are in the north * Impact on businesses in Swanley * Infrastructure requirements already stretched
GT0353	Giles Bergne		Observations	* Good site * Should be compulsory purchase
GT041	Pauline Snow		Object	* Uneven & unfair distribution of sites within the district * SDC should use compulsory purchase powers * Pressure on limited local facilities & amenities * Concerns on road safety
GT0435	Laura Howard		Object	* Enough sites in the area already
GT0438	Andrew Kowalyk		Object	* Proposed sites located close together * Impacts on infrastructure & local amenities
GT0449	Ian & Patricia Connell		Object	* Encroachment onto camp site * Enough sites in the area
GT0451	Ian & Patricia Connell		Object	* Encroachment onto campsite * Enough sites in the area
GT0470	Jackie Murphy	Naturist Foundation	Object	* Encroachment onto campsite * Enough sites in the area
GT050	Mr & Mrs Brown		Object	* Pressure on limited local facilities & amenities

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
GT0514	Jennifer Wilson	Environment Agency	Observations	* No objection in terms of fluvial flood risk
GT052	C Smith		Object	* Pressure on limited local facilities & amenities
GT0525	John Rathbone		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB * Pressure on limited local facilities & amenities * Increased traffic * Uneven distribution of sites across the district
GT0545	Jackie Murphy	Naturist Foundation	Object	* Enough existing sites in the area
GT081	Hugh Aldred		Object	* Comments to the lack of communication for public meeting * Directs attention to a previous email * Comments regarding behaviour, disorder and other issues
GT092	Antonio Proletti		Object	* Enough sites in the area * Pressure on limited local facilities & amenities * Concerns over potential increased levels of rubbish in the area
Robertsons Nursery, Goldsel Road, Swanley				
GT0120	R Page		Observations	* Land should remain green * Land should remain under current use
GT0126	Peter Medhurst		Object	* Government directives on provision * Origin of G&Ts * Contributions * Suggests that G&Ts take permanent dwellings (buy/rent)
GT0181	Mr & Mrs Manderscheid		Object	* Green Belt * Could set a precedent * local facilities have no additional capacity
GT0186	P Littlefield		Object	* Change of name
GT0188	R Perrin		Support	* Support allocation of Robertsons Nursery
GT021	Robbie Cooke		Object	* AONB * Comments on crime levels & antisocial behaviour
GT0218	Chad Nwanosike	Kent Highway Services	Observations	* No material change in highway terms and therefore no objections * Existing access onto public highway
GT0252	D.A.T Siggins		Object	* Uneven & unfair distribution of sites within the district * Quotes the definition of NIMBY * Attachment: SDC consultation proposal map
GT0254	Paul Adams		Object	* Inadequate drainage * Concerns over access & road safety * Uneven & unfair distribution of sites within the district
GT0304	Mrs Tsang		Object	* Isn't infrastructure in Swanley to accommodate the plans
GT0332	Paula J Street		Object	* Is this an EU directive? * Will there be enforcement to prevent additional pitches? * All sites in the north * Impact on businesses in Swanley * Infrastructure in Swanley already under strain

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
GT0370	J E Siggins		Object	* Impacts on increased vehicles in the area * Uneven distribution of proposed sites in the North & South of the district
GT0394	Swinfen		Object	* Unfair & uneven distribution of sites in district * Traffic & road safety concerns * Heavy concentration in the north * Greenbelt * Consultation not adequately communicated with residents * Enough existing sites in the area
GT0399	Barbara Morris	Crockenhill Parish Council	Object	Greenbelt land concerns Concerns of expansion in the future with more pitches
GT042	Pauline Snow		Object	* Uneven & unfair distribution of sites within the district * SDC should use compulsory purchase powers * Pressure on limited local facilities & amenities * Concerns on road safety
GT0452	Janet Payne		Object	* Not in-keeping with the area
GT0468	Claire East		Object	* General objection
GT051	Mr & Mrs Brown		Object	* Pressure on limited local facilities & amenities
GT0513	Jennifer Wilson	Environment Agency	Observations	* No objection in terms of fluvial flood risk
GT0526	John Rathbone		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB * Pressure on limited local facilities & amenities * Increased traffic * Uneven distribution of sites across the district
GT053	C Smith		Object	* Pressure on limited local facilities & amenities
GT082	Hugh Aldred		Object	* Comments to the lack of communication for public meeting * Directs attention to a previous email * Comments regarding behaviour, disorder and other issues
GT086	Sara Skinner		Object	* Enough sites in the area already * Would like to see proposals for sites in/around Sevenoaks
GT093	Antonio Proletti		Support	* Enough sites in the area * Pressure on limited local facilities & amenities * Concerns over potential increased levels of rubbish in the area
Malt House Farm, Lower Road, Hextable				
GT0124	R Page		Observations	* AONB * Enough sites in the area already * Impact on local character
GT0189	R Perrin		Support	* Support allocation of Malt House Farm and concur with the assessment * Site could accommodate additional pitches
GT0219	Chad Nwanosike	Kent Highway Services	Observations	* No objection but note that unable to comment on how additional pitches will be accessed internally

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
GT0261	Leslie C E Robus		Object	* Uneven & unfair distribution of sites in the district * Lack of amenities in village areas * Traveller sites need to be located near three main towns in the district
GT0382	V Sutcliffe		Object	* concerns to the potential loss of character * enough sites near the village already
GT0405	Ian MacDonald		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB concerns * Council's duty to protect & enhance
GT0465	Sally Anne Mason		Object	* Inappropriate development for the area
GT0495	Roger Gough	Kent County Council	Object	* Uneven distribution of sites across the district * Pressure on limited local services & amenities
GT0512	Jennifer Wilson	Environment Agency	Observations	* No objection in terms of fluvial flood risk
GT0568	Tracy Lane	Hextable Parish Council	Object	* Removal of site from options
GT060	D & S Firmin		Object	* Uneven & unfair distribution of sites within the district * Enough sites within the area already
GT061	Raymond Winter		Object	* Enough existing sites in the area already * Government policy encourages integration; SDC policy "wants to form ghettos" * Uneven & unfair distribution of sites within the district
GT066	G and K W Varnham		Object	* Imbalance in the community due to number of caravans in the area * Enough sites in the area already * Uneven & unfair distribution of sites within the district * Comments on the increase in crime
GT074	Eric Leaver		Object	* Understand the position of SDC & the constraints that are imposed * Uneven & unfair distribution of sites within the district * Concerns over integration if all sites are in the north * Different rules applied between G&T sites v. householders in the Greenbelt
GT087	Sara Skinner		Object	* Enough sites in the area already * Would like to see proposals for sites in/around Sevenoaks
Land adj. Valley Park south, Lower Road, Hextable				
GT0123	R Page		Observations	* AONB * Enough sites in the area already * Impact on local character
GT0239	L.K. Harper		Object	* Proposal is too big for the area * Should not exceed more than 5 pitches
GT0263	Leslie C E Robus		Object	* Uneven & unfair distribution of sites in the district * Lack of amenities in village areas * Traveller sites need to be located near three main towns in the district
GT0403	L Pettet		Object	* Concerns over rubbish and disturbance * Uneven distribution * Already have one site in Hextable
GT0406	Ian		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB concerns * Duty to protect & enhance * Concerns over distribution of sites

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
	MacDonald			
GT0417	S McGlashan		Object	* Concentration of sites in the north of the district * Proportion of village size to pitches unsuitable * Gov. paper on Gypsies & Traveller Sites Sect.9 cited
GT043	Raymond Winter		Object	* Uneven & unfair distribution of sites within the district * Sites should allow for integration with the community
GT0431	Mick Crawley		Object	* Distribution of sites unfair * Not in-keeping with the local area/character
GT0443	David Morgan		Object	* Pressure on village services & amenities * Uneven distribution of sites in the district * Enough existing sites in the area
GT046	Mr R.J Neaves		Object	* Uneven & unfair distribution of sites within the district
GT0466	Sally Anne Mason		Object	* Inappropriate development for the area
GT047	P Gaughan		Object	* Enough sites within the area already
GT0496	Roger Gough	Kent County Council	Object	* Uneven distribution of sites across the district * Pressure on limited local services & amenities
GT0511	Jennifer Wilson	Environment Agency	Observations	* No objection in terms of fluvial flood risk
GT056	Elvina Laird		Support	* Private sites the way forward as theirs better control * Families in bricks/mortar want a pitch but no availability * Lower Rd. could accommodate more pitches (10 additional) with second access * Extension in Lower Rd. could remove the need for Shoreham and other sites
GT0569	Tracy Lane	Hextable Parish Council	Object	* Removal of site from options * Attachments: HPC Minutes (26/06) & Cllr Morris email correspondence
GT057	Thomas & Louise Willett		Support	* Private sites the way forward as theirs better control * Families in bricks/mortar want a pitch but no availability * Lower Rd. could accommodate more pitches (10 additional) with second access * Extension in Lower Rd. could remove the need for Shoreham and other sites
GT059	D & S Firmin		Object	* Uneven & unfair distribution of sites within the district
GT06	Aylwin		Object	* Greenbelt * Encroachment * Comments on fly tipping, rubbish & bonfires * Attachment: Photograph of bonfires at the rear of property
GT0627	Elvina Laird		Observations	* Private site works better * Would need to expand the site to accommodate more
GT068	G and K W Varnham		Object	* Imbalance in the community due to number of caravans in the area * Enough sites in the area already * Uneven & unfair distribution of sites within the district * Comments on the increase in

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
				crime
GT075	Eric Leaver		Object	* Understands the need of pitches, in line with Government policy * Uneven & unfair distribution of sites within the district * Less integration, more confrontation between settled and G&T communities * Different rules apply between the provision of G&T sites v. householder extensions in greenbelt
GT088	Sara Skinner		Object	* Enough sites in the area already * Would like to see proposals for sites in/around Sevenoaks
Hilltop Farm, London Road, Farningham				
GT0121	R Page		Observations	* AONB * Enough sites in the area already * Impact on local character
GT0220	Chad Nwanosike	Kent Highway Services	Observations	* No material change in highway terms and therefore no objections
GT028	Su Hewitt		Object	* concern on property values * Concerns regarding crime * Aware that G&T sites need to be catered for
GT0360	Giles Bergne		Object	* Access concerns * Causing harm to viewing area south of Farningham Woods
GT0420	P A Moore		Object	* Greenbelt concerns
GT0474	Lorraine Dunk		Object	* Uneven distribution of sites across the district * Concern of additional sites that may arise
GT0510	Jennifer Wilson	Environment Agency	Observations	* No objection in terms of fluvial flood risk
GT0521	Jennifer Bate	Kent Downs AONB	Object	* AONB * Strict design and site conditions to preserve * Would not object to 5 pitches providing improvements were made to the whole site * Highlights a decision made by the Planning Inspectorate about developing within AONB land * Attachment: PI Appeals decision for a mixed use development off Reddings Lane, Staunton, Gloucestershire(Ref APP/P1615/A/13/2204158)
GT054	Len & George Lennard		Support	* Supports temp pitches to go perm. * Supports 5 additional pitches * Extension for families which expand * Permanent sites are needed when children are in the local schools * Coldharbour Farm (under ownership) has potential to expand
GT089	Sara Skinner		Object	* Enough sites in the area already * Would like to see proposals for sites in/around Sevenoaks
Land east of Knockholt Station, Halstead				
GT0106	Chris North		Object	* General objection
GT0119	Julie Rose		Support	* Existing site has been there for 10 years * Can't understand the fuss - support
GT0163	Amanda Akin-		Support	* Green Belt policies are very strict why are travellers allowed to have sites against policy? *

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
	Tibubo			object to further sites and pitches in the knockholt/halstead area
GT0197	Mr Golding		Object	* Contradicts green belt policy * Gypsy and Traveller sites in the area already * Need to consider land south east of District * Why do Sevenoaks have the highest number of pitches in Kent? * Find additional sites elsewhere in Kent
GT0201	Helen Knowles		Support	* Support the pitches as proposed
GT0206	Garratt		Object	* I strongly reject the plans for more gypsy sites in Halstead.
GT0221	Chad Nwanosike	Kent Highway Services	Observations	* The Temporary and additional pitches do not pose any safety implications and therefore no objections.
GT0236	Matthew & Tracy Barber		Support	* Adding more pitches to existing site would be preferable * This site is preferable to the Fort Halstead site as it is better located
GT0248	Rosemary Draper		Object	* Already congested roads * Increase in vehicles will make it more dangerous
GT0268	Dirk Wiemer		Object	* Green Belt - setting precedent * Impact on local facilities * Traffic impact and highways safety * All proposed sites are in the north of the District
GT0292	Susan Ward		Object	* Three sites within small village * Polhill site is underused * Site not permanent already because it does not fit the desired criteria * No facilities * Green Belt
GT0300	Julie Richardson		Object	* Impacts on local character * Unfair distribution of sites in the district
GT0323	Brandon Hayward		Object	* Already have a large site at polhill * Had our fair share * Should place sites elsewhere, Kippington Road or Wilderness, smarter areas of Sevenoaks are favoured
GT0327	Susan Tappin		Object	* No sites in the south * Halstead already has 2 sites
GT0357	Janet & John Hoy		Object	* Future development concerns
GT0393	Brian Simms		Object	* Observation that Polhill is not full * G&T site development is not restricted yet social housing is * Greenbelt & AONB * Uneven & unfair distribution of sites within the district * Heavy concentration in the north * Site out of proportion with the village * If they are not travelling then a site shouldn't be made permanent * Concerns over management & enforcement
GT0395	Lewis Grey		Object	* Concerns over increases in traffic * Greenbelt & AONB concerns * Goes against NPPF para. 72, 79, 80, 83, 87, & 88 * Lack of medical facilities

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
GT0416	Paul Cooling		Object	* Concerns over crime and theft - mentions installation of security fence around business * Quotes NPPF para. 69 - safe and accessible environment - SDC is failing to fulfil this policy * Concerns over property values being impacted by G&T sites
GT0421	D Wyatt		Object	* Out of proportion to the village size
GT0426	Gillian King Scott	Halstead Parish Council	Object	* Planning Inspectorate deemed site unsuitable * Current temporary permission & conditions should be taken into account * No evidence of systematic selection/investigation before Greenbelt was considered * Pressures on local amenities & infrastructure * Limited access to public transport & medical services
GT0445	Lisa English		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB * Limited public transport * Contravenes NPPF para 69, 72, 79, 80, 83, 87, 88 & 89 * Pressure on schools * Impact on local character * Dangers to road safety
GT0453	Johnathan & Angela Brooks		Object	* Road safety and vehicular access * Greenbelt & AONB concerns * Ancient woodland * Wildlife sites * Concerns over public rights of way * Uneven distribution of sites
GT0458	Donna Pullman		Object	* Goes against NPPF para. 72, 79, 80, 83, 87 & 88 * Pressure on local schools
GT0463	Raymond & Virginia Picot		Object	* Concerns road access & safety * Increases in traffic * Pressures on local school
GT0486	Tim Miller		Object	* Unclear to whether it is in Greenbelt * Partial risk to flooding * Pressure on limited local facilities & amenity * Health concerns on the site - dust/dirt/ due to location * Road safety concerns
GT0492	Michael Donovan		Object	* Contrary to the policies in NPPF (nos 72,79,80,83,87 and 88) * Increase pressure on medical services * Pressure on local infrastructure & amenities
GT0497	Sally Faithfull		Object	* Against the policies of The National Policy Planning Framework (72,79,80,83,87,88) * Pressure on local services & amenities * Pressure of limited medical services * AONB
GT0509	Jennifer Wilson	Environment Agency	Object	* Allocation of this land is in FZ3
GT0523	Gemma Fishwick		Object	* Limited local facilities & amenities to support the proposal * Concerns over public rights of way * Negative impact
GT0539	Joy-Ann Hemmings		Object	* Uneven distribution of sites across the district * Heavy concentration of sites within the north of the district * General objection comment
GT0544	Paula Boraston		Object	* Greenbelt * Concerns over public rights of way * Pressure on local facilities, services & amenities * Enough existing sites already in the area * Impacts the local character of the area

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
GT0547	Suzanne Gardner		Object	* Enough existing sites in the area * Uneven distribution of sites across the district * Pressure on local services & amenities
GT0556	B Ward		Object	* Concerns over community cohesion * General objection comment * Comments on undermining current lifestyle
GT0560	Betty Hinton		Object	* Pressure on local schools * Pressure on local amenities & facilities
GT073	Mr & Mrs Steel		Object	* Different rules applied to G&T sites v. householders in the Greenbelt * Enough sites in the area already * Unfair & uneven distribution of sites within the district * SDC has the highest number of pitches in the County * Would like to see sites south of the District or brownfield sites * Attachment: Respondent's letter of objection
Land at Fort Halstead, Halstead (outside of major developed site boundary)				
GT0101	Mrs Kennedy		Object	* Concludes that proposal is for easy access to Fort Halstead development area * AONB * Sloping chalk site - concerns of flooding * Public rights of way concerns * Road safety concerns * Within the boundaries of the Kent Wildfire Biodiversity Map for Central North Downs
GT0105	Ralph Allen	Techlink International	Object	* Access concerns * Public safety concerns - refers to crime, theft, fly tipping * Impact on local economy * Impact on local character * Refers to brownfield sites in Sevenoaks industrial estate before greenfield
GT0107	Chris North		Object	* Please accept this email as my formal objection to this proposal [...] for the avoidance of doubt...I object
GT0108	Alan Hewitson		Object	* I would like to register my objection to any proposal for a Gypsy & Traveller site at Fort Halstead.
GT0111	Angela Harries		Object	* Concerns over public rights of way * Increasing traffic flows on Star Hill * Limited local facilities & amenities * Concerns over public safety - reference to theft/break-ins * Greenbelt & AONB * Impact on wildlife
GT0113	Marion Layberry		Object	* Government owned land - therefore the reason for submission * Road safety, increases in traffic & site access concerns * Greenbelt & AONB * Ancient woodland (Great Wealden Forest) & wildlife site * Different rules apply to G&T sites v. homeowners * Pressure on limited local services * Uneven spread of sites within the district * Consider small site (>3 pitches) * General public safety concerns * Impact on local character
GT0114	Barry Page		Object	* Road safety & site access concerns * Pressure on limited local facilities & amenities * Greenbelt & AONB * Ancient woodland & wildlife site * Concerns over public rights of way & footpaths * Enough sites in the area already
GT0115	Martine		Object	* Greenbelt * Different rules between G&T sites v. homeowners on Greenbelt

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
	Mackenzie			
GT0116	Mr & Mrs Rinn		Object	* Pressure on limited local facilities & amenities * Concerns on road safety & site access * Increases in traffic * AONB & Greenbelt * Ancient woodland * Enough sites in the area already
GT0117	Ian McKechnie		Object	* Enough sites in the area already * Impact on the local character * Concerns over public rights of way
GT0118	Susan & Richard Skelly		Object	* Different rules to G&T sites v. homeowners in Greenbelt * Greenbelt & AONB * Limited public transport * Road safety & access to site * Limited local facilities & amenities
GT0125	Ann Trebell		Object	* Road safety concerns * Pressure on local schools * Using brownfield sites prior to greenfield * Impact on local character * Supported previous affordable housing project along Halstead Rd.
GT0144	Christina Alteirac		Object	* I strongly oppose the building of 15 gypsy and traveller pitches at Fort Halstead along the boundary of Star Hill Road.
GT0145	Dolores Murphy		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB * Ancient woodland & wildlife site & public footpaths * Impacts on limited local facilities & amenities * Concerns access to site * Impact on local character in the area
GT0146	Mr & Mrs Francis		Object	* Enough existing G&T sites in the area already * AONB & Greenbelt * Road safety on Star Hill Rd * Pressure on local schools * Impact on property values * Limited local facilities & amenities
GT0148	Mr & Mrs Bareham		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB * Ancient woodland & wildlife site * Public rights of way concerns * Access & road safety concerns * Limited local services & amenities * Security concerns with Fort Halstead * Enough G&T sites in the area already
GT0159	Richard Green		Object	* Green Belt * Impact on wildlife * Impact on rural character and open countryside * Impact on the AONB * Impact on the ancient monument * Additional traffic could cause major threat to road safety
GT0160	Derek Williams		Object	* Already have many sites * Impact on already busy roads - increased traffic * Impact on schools * Local medical centre already stretched * Green Belt * AONB * Should be more strict
GT0162	Amanda Akin-Tibubo		Object	* No need for another site * Star Hill Road is narrow and hazardous * Not sufficient facilities in Halstead or Knockholt * Potential impact on secure areas of Fort Halstead * Wildlife implications - lies within the" Kent wildlife biodiversity map for central north downs"
GT0165	Anthony Fenn		Object	* Impact on local infrastructure including road traffic and safety, pedestrian and vehicular access * suitability of local amenities (schools, shops, medical facilities) * Green Belt * AONB * impact on ancient woodland * impact on local wildlife site * impact on PROWs * Area has enough pitches
GT0168	Brigitte Notele		Object	* Impact on the local community * Impact on the rural setting and landscape character * AONB

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
GT0169	Mr & Mrs Seeds		Object	* Impact on the AONB * Impact on woodlands * Impact on wildlife * Impact on local amenities * Any green belt scheme should blend into the existing environment and have no impact on the ancient woodland, wildlife or footpaths
GT0171	David White		Object	* Worst choice * Star Hill a very busy road * AONB * Ancient woodland * Local Wildlife Site * well used PROW * No school places
GT0175	Lynne Belither		Object	* Negative effect on property prices * Green Belt * AONB
GT0176	Elizabeth Salisbury		Object	* Impact on school places * No doctors surgery * Star Hill is a narrow road and cannot cope with more traffic * Large number of sites in area already
GT0177	Linda Martin & Peter Francis		Object	* Green Belt and AONB
GT0178	V Lambillion		Object	* AONB * Impact on wildlife and ecology of the sit * Additional traffic
GT0185	Mr & Mrs Crompton		Object	* Already pitches in the area * Prominence of site * impact on ancient woodland * impact on walking routes * Green Belt
GT0187	Mr & Mrs Wilson		Object	* Prominence of site * Green Belt * AONB * Ancient woodland * Limited facilities - no medical centre * Impact on North Downs Way footpath
GT0195	P Lambillion		Object	* Disproportionate number of sites in the north of the District * Brownfield sites should be used ahead of Green Belt sites * Impact on ancient woodland and wildlife
GT0198	Sue Fowler		Object	* Inappropriate development in the green belt therefore contrary to policy * Government policy says that sites should be well connected to services and facilities - this site is not * Local school oversubscribed, no medical facilities or employment * Put pressure on local services * Scheduled ancient monument
GT0199	R Hill		Object	* Green Belt * Narrow Road with blind bends * AONB * Impact on wildlife * Impact on village
GT0202	Helen Knowles		Support	* Support the pitches as proposed
GT0203	Mr & Mrs Waite		Object	* Green Belt * AONB * Road Traffic, safety, pedestrian and vehicular access on a narrow road * Identify sites in the east of the District.
GT0205	Garratt		Object	* I strongly reject the plans for more gypsy sites in Halstead.
GT0209	Mr & Mrs		Object	* Pitches should be distributed across the District more * Proposed land is not available *

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
	Martin			Facilities in Halstead and Knockholt are already stretched * No school places or local medical facilities * Green Belt site * Should reconsider including provision in the main Fort Halstead site * Inconsistent with policy GB6 of the ADMP * Road Safety implications * Proximity to the secure Fort Halstead site * Should the allocation go ahead conditions should be set to ensure no expansion of the plots within the site
GT0222	Chad Nwanosike	Kent Highway Services	Observations	* There are no safety concerns with regards to vehicle movements on the two access points. Pedestrians on the narrow Starhill Road would be a concern particularly in the dark.
GT0232	David Stone		Object	* Green Belt land * Impact on North Downs Way * AONB * Public transport is minimal * Local schools already under pressure * Remote from local facilities * Access to site is potentially dangerous
GT0234	Matthew & Tracy Barber		Object	* Impact on local infrastructure - traffic, no pavements, dangerous roads. * Limited local facilities * Limited public transport * Green Belt * AONB * Impact on ancient woodland and Local wildlife site * Area has sufficient sites
GT0237	Brenda Holly		Object	* Green Belt * Local and long distance footpaths * traffic impacts * limited local facilities
GT0238	R Howe		Object	* Green Belt * Traffic and access on Star Hill Road, no pavement * Lack of local amenities and very limited public transport * School may not be able to cope * May change the "village" status * Too close to village * Already have sites in the area * provide sites in other parts of Sevenoaks
GT0241	D Bareham		Object	* Enough sites in area already * Green Belt * AONB * Ancient woodland, local wildlife site and PROWs * Star hill not suitable for vehicle or pedestrian access * Schools, shops and medical facilities should also be considered
GT0243	J.N. Knight		Object	* Enough sites within the area already * Proposal will impact on Fort Halstead development area
GT0244	Mr & Mrs Rae		Object	* Green Belt * AONB * Proximity to ancient woodland, wildlife site * no pedestrian access and limited public transport, increase traffic, poor local infrastructure * no mains drainage on star hill * not close to local facilities * impact on PROWs * Danger of more than 15 pitches * How can this site be appropriate if the major development site is not * Area already well served by gypsy and traveller sites * Need a more even distribution of sites across the district
GT0245	Derek Johnson	Chevening Parish Council	Object	* Infrastructure - limited public transport, no footpaths to access local settlements, local schools oversubscribed, burden on local medical facilities * Environment - Green Belt, AONB, Impact on wildlife
GT0247	G.M Lambert		Object	* Impact on infrastructure * Star Hill road already congested and dangerous * Limited local facilities * Schools, medical facilities and shops would be overloaded
GT0249	Rosemary		Object	* Problem with access onto Star Hill * Impact on the Fort

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
	Draper			
GT0250	Anthony Betts		Object	* Green Belt and AONB * Access problems * Impact on local amenities including local schools and medical facilities * Should increase the number of pitches on other sites * Should find alternative sites
GT0251	J A Edmondson		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB * Road safety concerns
GT0253	B. A. Brett		Object	* Green Belt * AONB * Proximity to a PROW * No local infrastructure, schools are overcrowded, major traffic problems, limited facilities and services, no pavements * Impact on Fort Halstead and Chevening Estate * Housing would not be acceptable * Already have two sites in the area
GT0255	David Coles		Object	* Green Belt * AONB, next to ancient woodland and wildlife site * no local infrastructure to support the site * enough sites in the north already * Other brownfield sites are more suitable
GT0256	Sandra Holloway		Object	* Green Belt * Impact on local environment * Already two sites in local area * No need for more pitches in this area * Access if dangerous * No footpaths or street lights * oversubscribed school * No local amenities * AONB, next to ancient woodland and local wildlife site, close to PROW networks * may encourage unauthorised pitches
GT0258	J. A. Spence		Object	* Green Belt, AONB, PROW network, Adjacent ancient woodland * Traffic issues * Already sites in the area * Need to spread sites across the District * Incompatible with future Fort Halstead development * Overburden of local amenities
GT0260	Tom Johnson		Object	* Green Belt * AONB * Adjacent to ancient woodland and local wildlife site * centre of PROW network * No capacity in Knockholt for schools or medical facilities * Impact on local transport infrastructure including road traffic, pedestrian and vehicle access * Local area has enough sites already
GT0264	Jane Hewitt		Object	* Enough sites in area already * Impact on services including schooling * Traffic impact * Green Belt * AONB * Impact on footpaths
GT0266	Tracey Caiger		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB * Pressure on road infrastructure & road safety concerns * Impact on local character * Public rights of way * Limited local facilities & amenities * Enough existing sites in the area
GT0269	Dirk Wiemer		Object	* Impact on the scheduled ancient monument * Green Belt * strain on local services * traffic impact
GT0274	Steve & Janet Brown		Object	* Green Belt Land * AONB * close to ancient woodland * local amenities are limited and would not cope with more people

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
GT0277	Jack Kensell		Object	* Village cannot cope with another 15 families * Contrary to NPPF policies 72, 79, 83, 80, 87, 88
GT0278	Jessica Chambers		Object	* Contrary to NPPF policies 72, 79, 80, 83, 87, 88 * Local roads, schools, amenities and doctors could not cope * AONB and Green Belt * Impact on Fort Halstead
GT0279	Adrian Murray	Knockholt Parish Council	Object	* Green Belt land * AONB * next to ancient woodland * Large site - potential for more pitches in the future * Poor local infrastructure - vehicular access problems, minimal public transport, not walking distance to villages * Impact on PROWs
GT0282	V. Whiting		Object	* Impact on the environment * Other areas are more appropriate
GT0285	Simon & Amanda Naylor		Object	* Impact on local infrastructure * Impact on local amenities * Green Belt and AONB * Impact on ancient woodland * centre of network of PROWs * Already sufficient sites in this area
GT0286	N.J. Butler		Object	* Contrary to NPPF policies 72, 79, 80, 83, 87, 88 * Extra burden on local amenities * AONB * Green belt * Impact on National Security
GT0287	M Whittaker		Object	* Local amenities cannot support a site, schools are already full, no doctor and few shops * Sites already in local vicinity * More even distribution of sites
GT0288	Bill Pound		Object	* Pressure on limited local facilities & amenities * Greenbelt & AONB * Public rights of way & wildlife site * Enough sites in the area already
GT0293	Susan Ward		Object	* It will mean three sites in a small village * Polhill site is underused * Within 3 miles of another gypsy site * No doctors in Halstead, no employment possibilities and no access to further education. Primary school is over 2 miles away * Green Belt
GT0299	Lucy Holden		Object	* Green Belt * Impact on the natural environment of Halstead
GT0301	Julie Richardson		Object	* Impact on village
GT0302	Christine Baker		Object	* Green Belt and AONB * Long established PROWs * Few local amenities * Site entrance is hazardous * Star Hill cannot take additional traffic
GT0305	R B Small		Object	* Need to know motivation behind the Government's decision * will lead to a concentration of sites in this area * Unwise to plan for Fort Halstead due to uncertain future * Star Hill Road is dangerous, no footpath * Infrequent bus service therefore more cars * Pressure on schools, no medical facilities * What do the current Gypsy and Traveller families think? * Unreasonable increase in pitches above those we already have.
GT0308	John Mettcalf		Object	* Impact on local infrastructure, road traffic and safety. Star Hill Road is already dangerous * Impact on local amenities, school, shop and no medical facilities in area * Green Belt and AONB *

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
				Next to ancient woodland and local wildlife site * Local area has enough already
GT0309	Ruth & Simon Easton		Object	* Green Belt * Village has listed buildings and has a conservation area * Contrary to NPPF policy 72, 79, 80, 84, 87 and 88
GT0310	D. Moore		Object	* Road Traffic impact and highways safety * Green Belt and AONB, * Other applications are regularly refused on GB and AONB grounds, will this set a precedent * Enough sites in area already
GT0311	Anne John		Object	* Contrary to NPPF policies 72,79,80,83,87 * Local doctors, road, schools and amenities will not cope * AONB and Green Belt * Impact on Fort Halstead
GT0312	Mr/Mrs Wilson		Object	* Contrary to NPPF policies 72,79,80,83,87 * Local doctors, road, schools and amenities will not cope * AONB and Green Belt * Impact on Fort Halstead
GT0314	Charlotte Mulvany		Object	* Impacts on the local infrastructure & amenity * AONB and Greenbelt encroachment * Concern over wildlife sites and ancient woodland
GT0315	Steve Maines		Object	* Green Belt, AONB * Next to Local Wildlife Site and ancient woodland * Centre of network of PROWs * Impact on local infrastructure in Knockholt which would not be able to cope * Contrary to NPPF policies 72, 79, 80, 83, 87 and 88
GT0322	Deb Burridge		Object	* Very large piece of land * Green Belt and AONB * Brownfield sites are more appropriate * Impact on PROWs, ancient woodland and local wildlife site * Traffic on Star Hill is already heavy
GT0324	Brandon Hayward		Object	* Already have a large site a Polhill * Halstead have had their fair share * Place new sites elsewhere, Kippington Road or Wilderness, seems the smarter areas of Sevenoaks are being favoured
GT0326	Susan Tappin		Object	* Very few sites in south of district, more sites needed * Halstead already has pitches * Contrary to NPPF policy 72,79,80,83,87,88 * AONB and Green Belt * Not in keeping with local character * Local amenities and roads would not be able to cope * Impact on Fort Halstead
GT0340	Kayleigh Wall		Object	* Against NPPF policies 72,79,80,83,87,88 * Local amenities will not be able to cope * AONB, Green Belt * Impact on Fort Halstead
GT0341	Tara Lynch		Object	* Contrary to NPPF policies 72,79,80,83,87,88 * Local amenities cannot cope * AONB and Green Belt * Impact on Fort Halstead * Already two sites in the area
GT0343	Tony Slinn	Knockholt Society	Object	* Previous planning history in local area when the site had continually been suggested and then removed * Impact on Fort Halstead * Why are so many sites in the North? * Contrary to the NPPF * Local area already has sufficient sites * AONB, next to ancient woodland and local wildlife site * centre of a network of PROWs * Containment of site - who will stop the site spreading? * No

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
				services on site (gas, water, sewerage and electricity) * Village amenities are inadequate * Impact on road safety and pedestrian safety * Proximity to housing
GT0345	James Armour		Object	* AONB & Greenbelt concerns * Not NPPF compliant * enough existing sites
GT0346	H.C. King		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB concerns * Increased pressure on local infrastructure/services
GT0355	Janet & John Hoy		Object	* number of existing sites in area already
GT036	Z Horton		Object	* Increased congestion on the roads * Pressure on limited services & amenities * Deliverability on local infrastructure to accommodate for the development * Greenbelt
GT0371	Jane Maynard		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB concerns * increased pressure on limited local infrastructure * Concerns over road safety around the site * Proposal size is disproportionate to size of the village
GT0372	John & Marilyn Collins		Object	* Pressures on local infrastructure * Greenbelt & AONB issues * concerns of impacts on the ancient woodland
GT0373	Dave Walls	(signatory on petition containing 27 signatures)	Object	* Greenbelt & AONB concerns * Increased pressure on local roads/infrastructure/amenities * Concerns over safety and access to the site * No mains drainage to the site * A number of existing gypsy sites near Fort Halstead * Attachment: Petition of 27 signatures
GT0374	Julie Bellamy		Object	* Enough sites within the area already
GT0375	Rhys Maynard		Object	* Greenbelt (NPPF para.17) & ANOB (para.115) concerns * NPPF para 69 cited - implies crime and disorder will undermine quality of life * Limited infrastructure & amenities * Differing interests in surrounding land - Armstrong Kent, Quinetiq, dstl * Access from the site restricted and dangerous to road users
GT0378	Isabelle Haynes		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB concerns * Pressure on existing limited infrastructure * Concentration of sites in the area seems unequal * Concerns of road safety and traffic
GT0379	Adrian Murray	Knockholt Parish Council	Object	* Greenbelt & AONB concerns * Pressure on existing infrastructure/amenities * The potential site is disproportionate for the village/surrounding area
GT0380	N H Manton		Object	* Impact on the local infrastructure and amenities
GT0384	Stephen E Hubble		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB concerns * Pressure on the local infrastructure/amenities i.e. doctors, schools, medical facilities * Concerns on road safety on Star Hill Road and around the access to the site * Highlights that the site does not fulfil the criteria set in terms of provision for amenities
GT0387	Christine &		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB concerns * Inconvenience to ramblers and network to footpaths across the

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
	Brian Boyden			area * Traffic and road infrastructure concerns with Star Hill Road (inc. safety of pedestrians)
GT0388	Bruce Balfour		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB concerns * Concerns for ancient woodland/wildlife site/network of footpaths across the region * Placing increased pressure on the existing infrastructure/local amenities * Concerns over proposed EMP3 allocation in terms of deliverability
GT0391	J W Cole		Object	* Worries of expansion once permission is granted "like Grays Hill Farm, Essex." (quote) * Greenbelt concerns * Local infrastructure pressures with an influx in the population * Believes that "altercations" between sites could arise due to close proximity to each other
GT0396	Lewis Grey		Object	* Concerns over road safety * Goes against NPPF para 72, 79, 80, 83, 87 & 88 * Greenbelt & AONB concerns * Lack of medical amenity available
GT0398	Liz Bingold		Object	* Concerns over wildlife, greenbelt land & ancient woodland * Road safety along Star Hill Road * Local infrastructure & amenities would be placed under strain * Uneven distribution of sites in the north
GT0401	M. R. Malkin		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB concerns * Concerns over traffic and local roads * Pressure on the local schools/village store
GT0407	Amy & Daniel Corderoy		Object	* Increased pressure on schools * Concerns of road/pedestrian safety * Increase in traffic * AONB concerns with wildlife/footpath network * Concerns of future further expansion if designated
GT0408	John Hickinbottom		Object	* Concerns to AONB/Greenbelt/woodland/footpath network * Location on a slope - dangerous location for access * Limited amenities & facilities i.e. doctor/medical/school * Security concerns with Fort Halstead * Concerns on deliverability with Location/Key Constraints criteria
GT0410	Anna & Michael Glasspool		Object	* Concerns that its AONB & Greenbelt land * Ancient woodland * Concern over safety and existing infrastructure
GT0411	Anna & Michael Glasspool		Object	* Greenbelt/AONB concerns * Brownfield site unsuitable yet greenfield site is? * Insufficient local amenities * Limited access for vehicles
GT0412	S.M. Breedon		Object	* Greenbelt/AONB concerns * Ancient woodland & use of footpaths will change * Pressure on local amenities & infrastructure * Inadequate public transport and increased car use along the road
GT0419	J Peters		Object	* Pressure on local infrastructure/amenities * Traffic concerns * Greenbelt/AONB * Close proximity to existing sites

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
GT0422	D Wyatt		Object	* Out of proportion to the village size
GT0423	Derek Scutt		Object	* Against NPPF para. 72, 79, 80, 83, 87 & 88 * Greenbelt/AONB * Security concerns with Fort Halstead * Increase pressure on limited amenities
GT0424	P R Eagles		Object	* Greenbelt concerns * Effects on ancient woodland/flora/fauna * Lack adequate infrastructure & local amenities
GT0427	Gillian King Scott	Halstead Parish Council	Object	* Site in Greenbelt/AONB * Concerns over public rights of way * No mains water access * Road safety & increases in concern * Pressure on local infrastructure & amenities * Lack of access to public transport & medical services
GT0428	H Balfour		Object	* Limited infrastructure & amenities/services * Lack of employment opportunity * Sites within Greenbelt/AONB * Ancient Woodland and wildlife sites under treat * Uneven distribution of sites across the district
GT0432	Brian & Bobbie Coughlan		Object	* Greenbelt/AONB concerns * Limited amenities * Narrow roads * Road safety * Concerns for flora/fauna in the area
GT0433	A Beesley		Object	* Concerns over cohesion * Proposed site too large for location * Close proximity to existing sites * Greenbelt & AONB concerns * Concerns over road safety * Limited local amenities & services
GT0436	Carol Bampton		Object	* Site in AONB & Greenbelt * Issues with security at Fort Halstead & the proposed development * Narrow roads * Pressure on local amenities & infrastructure i.e. medical/education * Uneven distribution of sites across the region - wants more in the South
GT0437	Dilys Bareham		Object	* Road safety up Star Hill Road * Concerns over emergency services - relates to road safety
GT0439	Ray & Mandy Hewitt		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB concerns * Unsafe due to increased traffic * Limited & unsafe access to the site * Local services & amenities put under pressure
GT044	Sven Royall		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB * Scheduled ancient monument * Impact on local character * Pressure on limited local facilities & amenities * Increase in traffic * Knockholt struggling to find affordable housing * Impacts to the local economy
GT0442	Michael Roulette		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB * Traffic & road safety concerns * Pressure on local limited facilities, services & amenities * Uneven & unfair distribution of sites in the north of the district * Impacts to the development o Fort Halstead
GT0444	Suzanne Paige		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB * Saturation of sites in the Halstead/Knockholt areas from existing sites * High concentration of sites in the north of the district * Pressure on local limited infrastructure,

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
				facilities & amenities * Ancient woodland * Contradicts Policy EMP3
GT0446	Lisa English		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB * Limited public transport * Contravenes NPPF para 69, 72, 79, 80, 83, 87, 88 & 89 * Pressure on schools * Impact on local character * Dangers to road safety
GT0448	Billee & Natalie Knight		Object	* Overcrowding * Impact on local infrastructure & amenity * Increased traffic
GT0454	Johnathan & Angela Brooks		Object	* Road safety and vehicular access * Greenbelt & AONB concerns * Ancient woodland * Wildlife sites * Concerns over public rights of way * Uneven distribution of sites
GT0455	Sue Russell		Object	* Lack of services and facilities (education/medical etc) * Pressure on roads * Increased risk on road safety for all users * Greenbelt & AONB * Ancient woodland * Public rights of way
GT0456	Hannah Smyth		Support	* Pressure on existing infrastructure * Pressure on local services & amenities * Lack of public transport * AONB - G&T sites treated differently to housing * Uneven distribution of sites in the district * Development size is not proportional
GT0457	John Malzer		Object	* Greenbelt * Lack of adequate local infrastructure * Ancient woodland * Greenfield site considered yet brownfield site at F/H removed * Uneven distribution of sites across the district * Sites in close proximity to each other * Expansion of Polhill mentioned
GT0459	Donna Pullman		Object	* Goes against NPPF para. 72, 79, 80, 83, 87 & 88 * Pressure on local schools
GT0464	Raymond & Virginia Picot		Object	* Limited access to the site * Road safety concerns * Limited local facilities & amenities * Greenbelt * Ancient woodland
GT0467	Michael Harries		Object	* Pressures on limited infrastructure * Greenbelt & AONB * Woodland needs protection * Site within proximity of a Freedom to Roam Area (2000s) (O.S. Map 147) CRoW Act 2000 * Uneven distribution of sites within the district
GT0472	Lionel Harland		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB * Limited local amenities
GT0473	Mrs O'Donnell		Object	* Concerns over size of development * Pressures of increased traffic * Pressures on local facilities & services * New water & sewerage connections needed * Enough existing sites in the area
GT0476	Cottie Ellis		Object	* Against NPPF para. 72, 79, 80, 83, 87 & 88 * Pressure on local services & amenities * Greenbelt & AONB * Security risk with Fort Halstead * Road safety risks
GT0477	E.P. Lewis		Object	* AONB * Local schools under pressure * Increase in traffic & road safety risks

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
GT0478	Amanda White		Object	* Against NPPF para. 72, 79, 80, 83, 87 & 88 * Pressure on limited amenities & services * Increased traffic concerns
GT0479	Terry and Jane Hadaway		Object	* Greenbelt * Ancient woodland * Limited infrastructure & local services/amenities * Road safety concerns
GT0483	John and Alison Gill		Object	* Uneven & unfair distribution of sites in the district * AONB * Brownfield sites should be considered first over greenbelt * Pressure on limited local facilities & amenities * Proposal too big for village * Traffic & road safety concerns * Consider extensions on existing sites first
GT0488	Rita Radford		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB * Pressure on local services & amenities * Concerns over road safety * Pressure on schools & medical facilities * Ancient woodland
GT0489	Adele Perry		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB * Ancient woodland * Potential loss of wildlife site * Impact on local services & facilities * Impact public rights of way
GT0493	Michael Donovan		Object	* Goes against policies in the NPPF (nos 72,79,80,83,87 and 88). * Pressure on medical services * Pressure on local infrastructure & amenities
GT0494	Allan White		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB * Pressure on local infrastructure & amenities * Concerns over access to site & road safety * Notes the rejection of the Fort Halstead brownfield site * Enough existing sites in the area & and currently being reviewed for expansion
GT0498	Debbie Edmunds		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB * Concerns over footpaths/public rights of way * Managing the site so there isn't any unauthorised pitches * Pressure on limited local amenities & service * Road safety & access concerns * Proximity to existing sites
GT0499	Rebecca Maxwell	CBRE	Object	* Greenbelt & AONB * Located within Flood Zone 1 * Limited public transport & concerns over access to the site * Impacts on biodiversity & local character * Limited services & amenities to support the development * Prejudicial against the benefits of the redevelopment of Fort Halstead * Attachment: AKLLP response to the consultation via CBRE
GT0505	D Hughes		Object	* Site unsustainable * Lack of public transport * Reliance on the redevelopment of Fort Halstead * Potential impact on the values in the redevelopment site * Little regard to mitigation measures for ancient woodland
GT0508	Jennifer Wilson	Environment Agency	Observations	* No objection in terms of fluvial flood risk
GT0515	Tim Miller		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB * Drainage from site is not adequate * Pressure on limited services & facilities in the local area * Pressure on local road infrastructure * Lack of public transport * Impact local character * Road access & safety concerns

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
GT0524	Juilet & David Peters		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB * Impacts on public rights of way * Ancient woodland * Concerns over access to site & road safety * Limited local facilities & amenities * Notes that there are brownfield sites close to Sevenoaks like Bat & Ball and the Pan Handle * Management issues due to size of site
GT0529	Clayton King		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB * Historic sites of interest - Napoleonic fort, Ancient woodland & Pilgrims Way * Contrary to the NPPF * Security concerns with Fort Halstead * Site assessment not giving consideration to land contamination * Concerns to access & road safety * Topology not suitable * Pressure on limited local services & amenities * Concerns over crime
GT0530	Trudie Talmage		Object	* Contravenes planning policy * Close proximity to existing sites * Pressure on local facilities & amenities
GT0540	Joy-Ann Hemmings		Object	* Uneven distribution of sites across the district * Heavy concentration of sites within the north of the district * General objection comment
GT0542	Christopher Burridge		Object	* Pressure on the local schools * Traffic flow increases & concern to road safety * Greenbelt & AONB * Concerns regarding footpaths & public rights of way
GT0543	Paula Boraston		Object	* Greenbelt * Concerns over public rights of way * Pressure on local facilities, services & amenities * Enough existing sites already in the area * Impacts the local character of the area
GT0548	Suzanne Gardner		Object	* Enough existing sites in the area * Uneven distribution of sites across the district * Pressure on local services & amenities
GT0550	Simon Tanner		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB * NPPF para.17 & Section 9 cited in relation to the Greenbelt * Uneven distribution of sites across the district * Heavy concentration in the north
GT0551	Tony Cheeseman		Object	* Impact local infrastructure * Access to site concerns & road safety * Local amenities under pressure * Ancient woodland & wildlife site * Enough existing sites in the area * Concerns over public rights of way
GT0552	Rachel Seeley		Object	* Enough local sites in the area * Pressure on limited amenities, services & facilities * Greenbelt
GT0553	Tobias Kearns		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB * Ancient woodland * Concerns over public rights of way/footpath 172 * Traffic & road safety concerns * Pressure on limited local facilities & amenities * Uneven distribution of sites within the district
GT0554	J.E. Sheperd		Object	* Against NPPF (no. 72,79,80,83,87,88) * Pressure on local amenities & services * AONB & Greenbelt * Security concerns with Fort Halstead
GT0555	C. J. Storey		Object	* Road safety & access concerns * Pressure on local schools * Lacking local health services * Greenbelt & AONB * Enough existing sites in the area already
GT0557	B Ward		Object	* Concerns over community cohesion * General objection comment * Comments on undermining

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
				current lifestyle
GT0558	Margaret E. Ashwood		Object	* Enough existing sites in the area * AONB * Ancient woodland and wildlife site * Concerns over access to the site with narrow roads * Road safety concerns * Pressure on limited local services & amenities
GT0559	M Burnhams		Object	* Against NPPF policies 72,79,80,83,87 and 88 * Pressure on local facilities & amenities * AONB & Greenbelt * Security concerns with Fort Halstead
GT0562	Ann Kearns		Object	* Uneven distribution of sites across the district * AONB & Greenbelt * Brownfield sites should be considered first * Concerns over footpath networks * Increased traffic flow & road safety
GT0583	Jennifer Bate	Kent Downs AONB	Object	* Greenbelt & AONB * Not allocated for development within the CS * Contrary to national & local policy * Question the deliverability of site with concerns relating to ADMP
GT067	Ben Prentice		Object	* AONB & Greenbelt * Limited public transport * Increased traffic * Pressure on local schools * Site fails to meet criteria in the plan * Impact on local character
GT072	Mr & Mrs Steel		Object	* Different rules applied to G&T sites v. householders in the Greenbelt * Enough sites in the area already * Unfair & uneven distribution of sites within the district * SDC has the highest number of pitches in the County * Would like to see sites south of the District or brownfield sites * Attachment: Respondent's letter of objection
GT076	Doreen Walls		Object	* Increased traffic & road safety concerns * Pressure on limited local facilities & amenities * Greenbelt & AONB * Ancient woodland & wildlife site * Public rights of way concerns with footpaths *
GT077	Graham Brown		Object	* AONB * Ancient woodland * Wildlife site
GT078	Dave Bull		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB * Enough sites within the area already * Pressure on limited local infrastructure & amenities * Ancient woodland
GT085	Adam Walker		Object	* AONB * Density of the proposal is a concern - at Fort Halstead 15 pitches = 26 caravans & 51 people (based on regional averages for household size (3.4) and number of caravans per pitch (1.7))
GT094	Beverley Good		Object	* AONB & Greenbelt * Road safety concerns on Star Hill * Pressure on limited local facilities & amenities
GT095	Diane Gravatt	Anglolift Ltd	Object	* Enough sites in the area already * AONB & Greenbelt * Ancient woodland
GT099	Sophie Marshman		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB * Ancient woodland & wildlife site * Kent has a number of existing sites; SDC should not provide anymore * Pressure on limited local facilities & services * Limited public

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
				transport * Impact of traffic
Land south of Mesne Way, part of Timberden Farm, Shoreham				
GT0103	Graham Palmer		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB * Impact on local character, wildlife & heritage * Limited local services & amenities * Questioning deliverability & costs
GT0112	Julie Courtney		Object	* Unsuitable site for travellers
GT012	Mrs Capon		Object	* Concerns over public safety
GT014	Anna Stevens		Object	* AONB & greenbelt * Concerns over public rights of way * Impact on local character
GT0147	Neil Atkinson		Object	* AONB * Narrow roads & road safety concerns * Impact on the local character of Shoreham village
GT0149	Patricia Gillingham		Object	* Concerns over traffic & road safety * Concerns over flooding * Site access concerns
GT0151	J Meade		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB * SSSI * SDC should be responding to Gov. policy * Provision for affordable housing should take priority over G&T sites
GT0156	Anne-Louise Crocker		Object	* Cites an interview on Radio Kent (30/05/14) with rep from G&T community * Steep slope * AONB * G&T communities/group say site is unsuitable
GT0158	Ben Lyle		Object	* AONB * Concerns over flooding, especially from last winter * Filston Lane very narrow * Road safety for pedestrians at risk * No medical facilities * Pressure on the local schools * SDC ownership of land, influenced decision to be put forward for consultation
GT0161	Vicky Hitchcock		Object	* Sale of land was for protection not development * Covenants on the land and tenancy agreements prevent use of land for uses including Gypsy and Traveller pitches
GT0164	Mr & Mrs Miller		Object	* AONB * Access is dangerous with little visibility * dangerous for pedestrians * severe rainfall runoff already which would be increased by hard standing * School is oversubscribed already * Traffic in village already bad * No good bus service * Unable to screen the site * additional noise
GT0167	Karen Morash		Object	* Site unsuited for 15 pitches - too many * Shoreham is small with limited resources * School very nearly at capacity, new children would need to travel which would lead to difficulty in integrating with the community * Village at breaking point in car parking and traffic, additional families would make situation worse * Green Belt and AONB * Site exposed in open countryside * Lack of facilities in the village including no doctor's surgery * Filston lane is already dangerous for pedestrians and vehicles
GT0180	A Breed		Object	* Impact on landscape

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
GT0183	Trevor & Beryl Carman		Object	* Visibility from public footpath * AONB * Unique landscape
GT0184	Pam Walshe		Object	* Green Belt * No health services in Shoreham * Impact on the AONB * Out of character with surroundings * Impact on amenity of adjacent residents * Lack of safe and convenient access
GT02	James Martin		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB * Pressure on road infrastructure * Pressure on the local schools * Pressure on limited local facilities & amenities * Impact on local character * Attachment: respondent's letter of objection
GT0200	Bryan Burgin		Object	* Shoreham doesn't have the infrastructure to cope * Narrow roads * AONB * Brownfield sites should be explored
GT0204	Chris Arnold	Shoreham Primary School	Object	* Shoreham school does not have the facilities or infrastructure to accommodate a potentially large extra group of children * Increase in vehicular movement
GT0210	Flanagan		Object	* Inappropriate in the AONB * Land was sold for protection not development * No stakeholder support for the allocation
GT0223	Chad Nwanosike	Kent Highway Services	Observations	* No objection on impact on surrounding roads * Access to the site would require works due to difference in level between the site and Filston Lane.
GT0230	Mr & Mrs Cockburn		Object	* Site does not meet the criteria for allocation * Only submitted because SDC are owners * Green Belt and AONB cannot be mitigated. Highly visible * Significant surface water runoff * Impact on infrastructure and services, narrow roads, small school * Impact on neighbours * Out of character with surrounding countryside * Access not safe
GT027	Jill Aisher	St Michael's Prep School	Object	* AONB * Pressure on the local schools * Limited local facilities & amenities * Different rules for G&T sites v. creating business in AONB areas (e.g. camp sites, outdoor activity centre) * Impact on local character
GT0270	Dirk Wiemer		Object	* Green Belt * Impact on local services * Traffic and highways safety * impact on character of Shoreham from sudden influx of population
GT0271	Robb Turner-Radford		Object	* AONB * Impacts on wildlife & local character
GT0272	Linda Martin & Peter Francis		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB * Different rules for G&T sites v. homeowner extensions in Greenbelt
GT0280	Dave Wetton	Tonbridge & Malling Rambles Group	Object	* Impact on character of landscape * visibility of site from PROWs

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
GT029	Julia Mercer		Object	* AONB * Traffic & road safety * Concerns over integration with the existing community - potential tensions * Impact the local character
GT0294	Sheila Birkin	The Shoreham Society	Object	* fully endorses Shoreham Parish Council comments * GTAA has serious flaws including the use of members of the G&T community to conduct interviews * Green Belt, AONB and local environment including visibility from local footpaths, impossible to mitigate * impact on ecology and local wildlife * Impact on a heritage asset * Unable to screen site * Contrary to national policy * limited access to local facilities and services * layout of site would no respect local character or topography * significant additional traffic, alterations to Filston lane would destroy rural character * Significant noise and light pollutions * SDC ownership has influenced inclusion * The north of the District already has a large number of sites, concerned about absence of sites in Sevenoaks or the south * Environmental protection should be given the highest priority * Organised a petition as well
GT03	Robert whitehand		Object	* AONB * Impact on the local economy * Concerns over public rights of way * Pressure on limited local facilities & amenities * Increased traffic & narrow roads * Questions why the Shoreham community wasn't offered the land for their use
GT030	Nicholas Heuston		Object	* Pressure on limited local facilities & amenities * Close proximity to properties * Impact on local character * AONB & Greenbelt * Lack of public transport * No evidence produced to show brownfield sites explored * Size of development is out of proportion to the existing local community
GT0313	Barbara Delmar		Object	* Narrow roads * limited local facilities * land was purchased by Council for protection * Impossible to screen and negative impact on the environment * Impact on quiet beauty and tranquillity * Reuse brownfield land instead
GT0318	Kenny Miles		Object	* Conflicts with local policy and the reason for buying the land * AONB and Green Belt * Conflicts advice from Conservation Officer and Ecologist and previous refusals for such schemes * Protected trees on site * Impact on wildlife and potential pollution, protected species have been seen on site * Conflicts with character of the conservation area and has negative impact on listed buildings * Parish Council did not support affordable housing on site * Could set a precedent * Loss of green infrastructure * Impact on the PROWs * Conflicts with Council's access and on-site turning standards. Dangerous for pedestrians. Road system is inadequate, highways safety. * Inadequate sewerage * Creates a flood risk due to run off * Impact on neighbours, loss of privacy and amenity. Increased noise, pollution, waste and smells. * Visual impact * Impact on local economy * ADMP Inspector gives more weight to Green belt and AONB policy
GT032	W Alexander	Sevenoaks NFU	Object	* Sites should be allocated to brownfield sites or extended on existing sites before greenbelt is considered * Greenbelt & AONB * Impact to local character * Concerns over access & drainage to the site * Change of use concerns

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
GT0330	Ralph Abbott		Object	* KCC Highways comments are flawed and should be disregarded * Attachment: SDC's Data Quality Statement
GT034	Carol Kay		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB * Pressure on limited local facilities & amenities * Access to site & road safety concerns * Concerns over how the site will be managed once approval is given
GT0342	Peter Knight		Object	* Green Belt and AONB * Blight in the landscape and visible from PROWs, unable to screen * access and roads are inadequate * Why are all the sites in the north of the district
GT0369	Cllr John Edwards-Winser		Object	* AONB needs greater emphasis * Kent Highways have indicated that expensive engineering works would be required to gain suitable access to the site * Polhill could have more pitches * Schooling would be impossible * Contrary to government policy on Green Belt * Negative effect on the local housing market * Visibility in the landscape * Ward members should have been informed before the consultation
GT0376	Mr & Mrs Birkin		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB concerns * Pressure on existing infrastructure
GT038	Heathcote		Object	* Pressure of limited local facilities & amenities * AONB & Greenbelt * Concerns over increased traffic & road safety * Impact on local character & heritage * SDC Advisory Committee advised against the site being taken to consultation * Numerous reference to Gov. policies, letters from ministers, Ministerial Statements, Brandon Lewis
GT04	Peter Tate		Object	* General objection
GT0402	Mike & Heather Sillitoe		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB concerns * Concerns over site assessment criteria * Lack of infrastructure/amenities to support the site * Concerns with potential flood risks & not in keeping with the rest of the area * Increases in traffic and concerns over road safety
GT0404	Ian MacDonald		Object	* Non-compliance with Greenbelt & AONB policy * Distribution of sites in the North * Amenity & enjoyment of Greenbelt under threat
GT0415	Christine Walls		Object	* AONB concerns * Positive comments about improving recreation * Concerns of community cohesion * Proposed site too close to village
GT045	Mrs Josephine Wilkins		Object	* Village of Shoreham should be listed & protected * Impact on local character
GT0460	Belinda Sanderson		Object	* AONB * Development not in keeping with the area
GT0461	Roger Gough	Kent County Council	Object	* Education pressures on local schools * Pressures on limited local infrastructure * Greenbelt &

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
				AONB * Increased traffic concerns
GT0462	Sharon Palmer	Shoreham Parish Council	Object	* Uneven and unfair distribution of sites within the district * Concerns over the size of the proposal & its phasing * Greenbelt & AONB concerns * Impacts the limited local facilities & amenity * GTAA - concerns over accuracy & reliability * GTAA - data interpretation & national planning policy * GTAA - concerns over the traveller definition * Agent - site affected by NPPF, TCPA 1990 (S.66 & 72), DCLG's Planning Policy for Travellers, SDC CO & saved policies (LO1,7,8, SP1, EN1, EN23, EN34, H16 & T8) * Agent - similar statements regarding local facilities, Greenbelt & AONB * Agent - concerns over additional engineering works, effects on conservation areas/listed buildings & viability
GT05	M. Lowe		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB * Pressure on local schools * Concerns over traffic & road safety * Risks of flooding * Pressure on limited local amenities * Potential costs for deliverability
GT0507	Jennifer Wilson	Environment Agency	Observations	* No objection in terms of fluvial flood risk
GT0531	Peter Kasch		Object	* AONB * Highly visible, impossible to screen * Impact on historic character of the village and tourism * Country lanes are ill-suited to frequent traffic * 15 pitches is disproportionately large for size of village * land purchased for protection not development
GT0546	Nigel & Kate Britten		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB * Cites para. 4.8 & 6.5 of criteria and pointing out non-compliance
GT0561	Y Wright		Object	* AONB * Pressure on local services & amenities * Concerns over rubbish build-up
GT0564	Ann Palmer		Object	* Greenbelt & AONB * Limited local facilities, services & amenities * Concerns over site access & road safety * Concerns over delivery costs * Impact on the local character
GT0584	Jennifer Bate	Kent Downs AONB	Object	* Greenbelt & AONB * Contrary to national & local policy * Question the deliverability of site with concerns relating to ADMP * Concerns of delivery over ownership of land * Suggests Button St.
GT064	Ann Palmer		Object	* Advisory Committee deemed Shoreham site unsuitable * SDC only put it forward as SDC owns the land * Kent Highways failed to object * High volumes of traffic
GT07	Margaret Clotworthy		Object	* AONB * Risk of flooding * Against the advice of the Advisory Committee * Impact on local character
GT071	Mr & Mrs Steel		Object	* Different rules applied to G&T sites v. householders in the Greenbelt * Enough sites in the area already * Unfair & uneven distribution of sites within the district * SDC has the highest number of pitches in the County * Would like to see sites south of the District or brownfield sites * Attachment: Respondent's letter of objection

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
GT080	P Richardson		Object	* Questions the fairness of criteria for the site * Narrow roads * Pressure on limited local facilities & amenities * Impacts on Farningham, Eynsford & Otford * Impact on the local character
GT084	Eileen Mumford	Shoreham Village School	Object	* Pressure on the school * PAN (planned admission number) 15 per annum * Maximum number of children in the school 105 * School placed under "special measures" for inadequate educational provision
GT09	Lisa and Richard James		Object	* Impact on local character * AONB * Impacts on the local economy * Pressure on limited local facilities & amenities * Different rules for G&T sites v. homeowner extensions * Concerns over expansion * Concerns over road safety & narrow roads * Topography is unsuitable * Site was bought by SDC to protect & preserve the environment
GT098	Neil Vickers		Object	* AONB & Greenbelt * Edge of conservation area * Impacts on tourism & local economy * Traffic concerns * Narrow roads * Questions Council's commitment to green policies
Eagles Farm, Crowhurst Lane, West Kingsdown (existing site)				
GT0154	T Harber	Meeson Williams Phillips Ltd	Support	* Proposal supported by landowner & occupier
GT0172	Avril Gooch		Object	* Object to sites in West Kingsdown * More sites in Sevenoaks
GT0173	Avril Gooch		Object	* Object to sites in West Kingsdown * More sites in Sevenoaks
GT0224	Chad Nwanosike	Kent Highway Services	Observations	* No objections
GT0506	Jennifer Wilson	Environment Agency	Observations	* No objection in terms of fluvial flood risk
GT090	Sara Skinner		Object	* Enough sites in the area already * Would like to see proposals for sites in/around Sevenoaks
Eagles Farm, Crowhurst Lane, West Kingsdown (extension)				
GT0153	T Harber	Meeson Williams Phillips Ltd	Support	* Support for proposal by occupier & landowner
GT0225	Chad Nwanosike	Kent Highway Services	Observations	* No objections
GT0504	Jennifer Wilson	Environment Agency	Observations	* No objection in terms of fluvial flood risk
Hollywood Gardens, School Lane, West Kingsdown				

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
GT0155	Roy Willis	Meeson Williams Phillips Ltd	Support	* Proposal supported by landowner & occupier
GT0174	Avril Gooch		Object	* Object to sites in West Kingsdown * More sites in Sevenoaks
GT0226	Chad Nwanosike	Kent Highway Services	Observations	* Highways and Transportation objected to the temporary application on the grounds that the access has poor visibility in both directions for vehicles leaving the site and therefore had highway safety implications. * The access is existing with no intensification of use therefore Highways and Transportation have no further objections.
GT0503	Jennifer Wilson	Environment Agency	Observations	* No objection in terms of fluvial flood risk
GT0585	Jennifer Bate	Kent Downs AONB	Object	* Greenbelt & AONB * Requires enforcement & conditions be imposed to ensure preservation
GT091	Sara Skinner		Object	* Enough sites in the area already * Would like to see proposals for sites in/around Sevenoaks
Early Autumn, East Hill Road, Knatts Valley				
GT0190	R Perrin		Support	* Support allocation of Early Autumn and concur with assessment
GT0227	Chad Nwanosike	Kent Highway Services	Observations	* Highways and Transportation have no objections.
GT0502	Jennifer Wilson	Environment Agency	Observations	* No objection in terms of fluvial flood risk
GT0541	Michael Harris		Object	* Opposition to expansion to general public use * Happy with current residents at the site
GT0549	Glenn Harper		Support with Conditions	* Not in favour of large scale expansion
Fordwood Farm, New Street Road, Hodsoll Street				
GT0170	A J Sinclair		Object	* Need better distribution across the District * Narrow access to site
GT0194	Elizabeth Stroud		Object	* Object to Fordwood Farm * Need better distribution of sites across the District
GT0196	J M Hynard		Object	* Green Belt * Impact on rural character of countryside * Narrow lane, traffic and road safety issues * No public transport * Visibility and noise issues - impact on amenity of neighbours * Temporary permission does not make it suitable * Need a better distribution of sites across the District * Could set a precedent

Ref ID	Name	Organisation	Nature of response	Summary
GT0228	Chad Nwanosike	Kent Highway Services	Observations	* No objections
GT0283	C J Hodge		Object	* Objection to expansion * Greenbelt * Expansion leads to increased traffic * Uneven & unfair distribution of sites within the district * Integration needs to play a part
GT0335	Alison De Jager	Ash-cum-Ridley Parish Council	Observations	* No problems or issues have arisen since temporary permission was granted
GT0397	A. & D. Wishart		Object	* Comments on heavy concentration of sites in the North of the district - integration not sustainable * Does not want further increase on site, unless to the family in residence there.
GT0501	Jennifer Wilson	Environment Agency	Observations	* No objection in terms of fluvial flood risk
Seven Acres Farm, Hever Road, Edenbridge				
GT0182	R Jenkins		Object	* What about sites in Sevenoaks? * Edenbridge has enough sites
GT0229	Chad Nwanosike	Kent Highway Services	Observations	* No objections.
GT0500	Jennifer Wilson	Environment Agency	Observations	* No objection in terms of fluvial flood risk
GT096	Robert Ball		Support	* Supports temporary pitches
GT097	Robert Ball		Support	* Support the expansion of existing sites over finding new ones to support the need