

## Capital Programme 2011/14

### Scheme Bid Document - Scheme: Vehicle Replacement Programme

**Description:** Purchase of replacement commercial fleet vehicles for vehicles that have reached the end of their fully depreciated life

**Service : Environmental and Operational Services**

**Portfolio Holder/Head of Service : Cllr Mrs Hunter/Richard Wilson**

**Financials :**

CAPITAL COSTS	TOTAL	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14
	£000	£000	£000	£000
Gross scheme cost	2,100	700	700	700
External Contributions (list)				

Net scheme cost	2,100	700	700	700
-----------------	-------	-----	-----	-----

**ONGOING REVENUE IMPLICATIONS**

(excluding loss of interest)

Running costs

Income streams

Net cost	0	0	0
----------	---	---	---

**Funding Source :** Funding via the vehicle replacement fund which is funded by depreciation charges for all fleet vehicles and from the sale of any vehicles. Depreciation charges are made on all vehicles and met from fixed transport cost charges on relevant trading account or relevant service budget.

<b>Other Resource Implications :</b>	
Staffing	Managed by fleet management overhead account by existing staff
Asset Values	Approx £2.5M

**Justification:** (Statutory Duty / Community Plan Aims / Key Infrastructure / Additional Savings / Other)

To maintain services, mainly statutory. Supports all the Council's priorities and objectives.

## Capital Programme 2011/14

### Scheme Bid Document - Scheme: Improvement Grants

**Description:** Statutory Disabled facility Grants (DFGs) including LSVT RSL aids and adaptations and cost of processing applications

**Service : Housing services**

**Portfolio Holder/Head of Service :** Cllr Mrs Carol Clark / Pat Smith

**Financials :**

CAPITAL COSTS	TOTAL £000	2011/12 £000	2012/13 £000	2013/14 £000
Gross scheme cost	2,022	674	674	674
External Contributions (list)				
CLG grant	-1,041	-347	-347	-347
Net scheme cost	981	327	327	327

**ONGOING REVENUE IMPLICATIONS**

(excluding loss of interest)

Running costs

Income streams

Net cost	0	0	0
----------	---	---	---

Funding Source : Government Grant (DCLG) and Council budgets

\* : Revenue implications dependent on individual projects.

<b>Other Resource Implications :</b>	
Staffing	Some costs included but being removed over a 5 year period (cost met from existing revenue budgets) to pay a total of £105,000 savings.
Asset Values	Deferred charges –assets not in Council ownership

**Justification:** (Statutory Duty / Community Plan Aims /Additional Savings /Balanced Communities)

It is statutory duty to provide DFGs to the older and or disabled residents in the district and this demand is increasing every year .There is a predicted high increase of older residents expected in the next few years. Out of the total budget £250,000 is ring fenced for WKHA Aids and Adaptations for tenants. Both schemes are eligible for DCLG funding.

## Capital Programme 2011/14

### Scheme Bid Document - Scheme: Modern Government document management system

**Description:** Modern Government is an advanced document management system used for providing efficient committee services. It is a very user friendly system that should save administration time and will replace CMIS.

#### Service : Legal and Democratic Services

**Portfolio Holder/Head of Service :** Cllr Mrs Bracken / Christine Nuttall

#### Financials :

CAPITAL COSTS	TOTAL	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14
	£000	£000	£000	£000
Gross scheme cost	16	16	-	-
External Contributions (list)				
Net scheme cost	<u>16</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>

#### ONGOING REVENUE IMPLICATIONS

(excluding loss of interest)

Running costs

Income streams

Net cost

<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
----------	----------	----------

Funding Source : Capital receipts and capital reserve

Other Resource Implications :	
Staffing	None
Asset Values	None

**Justification:** (Statutory Duty / Community Plan Aims / Key Infrastructure / Additional Savings / Other)

To improve the service provided by Democratic Services. The system is far superior to CMIS and we are obtaining it at a very reduced rate on the basis of joint working with Dartford who are already on the system. Joint working with Dartford in the area of Democratic Services is extremely difficult with two different systems.

