

Date of Appraisal Panel 16/11/11

Ward: DUNTON GREEN & RIVERHEAD

Project: RIVERHEAD CENTRE

DECISION-MAKING

The application is for less than the maximum grant of £3,000 or £6,000 if Members from more than one ward are submitting the application.	Please tick <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
The delivery organisation is eligible – please check para 3 of the Guidelines	Please tick <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
The Equalities Statement has been returned signed, OR the delivery organisation has confirmed that an equalities policy is in place.	Please tick <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Total points allocated to the project (the Panel should recommend approval of the highest scoring applications unless they are concerned that the cost/benefit score is not good enough)	65
The cost/benefit value – this is the amount applied for divided by the total points allocated to the project. The lower this score, the better the VFM.	19.55
The extent to which equalities issues are supported by this application: NO NEGATIVE IMPACT AND WILL HELP OLDER PEOPLE. AREA NEEDS A LITTLE MORE HELP THAN ELSEWHERE	
Any questions that must be answered before a decision is made (only if deferring the decision):	
Any special conditions that should be imposed: PLAQUE TO SAY "THIS BENCH WAS FUNDED BY SEVENOAKS DISTRICT COUNCIL'S BIG COMMUNITY FUND" ADVISORY - PERMISSION OF THE LANDOWNER	
How the outcome of the project will be monitored (performance indicators):	

Final decision:

☒ Approved

☐ Refused

☐ Deferred pending further info

☐ Deferred pending further allocation of funds

If not approved, please give the reasons for refusal:

Reasons for refusal:

If approved, please state total amount approved:

£ 1,271

Date of Appraisal Panel 16/11/11

Ward: EDENBRIDGE SOUTH & WEST

Project: CHRISTMAS LIGHTS & TREE FOR THE STREET

DECISION-MAKING

The application is for less than the maximum grant of £3,000 or £6,000 if Members from more than one ward are submitting the application.	Please tick <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
The delivery organisation is eligible – please check para 3 of the Guidelines	Please tick <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
The Equalities Statement has been returned signed, OR the delivery organisation has confirmed that an equalities policy is in place.	Please tick <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Total points allocated to the project (the Panel should recommend approval of the highest scoring applications unless they are concerned that the cost/benefit score is not good enough)	78
The cost/benefit value – this is the amount applied for divided by the total points allocated to the project. The lower this score, the better the VFM.	38.46
The extent to which equalities issues are supported by this application: NO NEGATIVE IMPACT	
Any questions that must be answered before a decision is made (only if deferring the decision): 	
Any special conditions that should be imposed: 	
How the outcome of the project will be monitored (performance indicators): ANEC DOTAL EVIDENCE THAT THERE IS IMPROVED FOOTFALL IN THE TOWN CENTRE	

Final decision:

☒ Approved

☐ Refused

☐ Deferred pending further info

☐ Deferred pending further allocation of funds

If not approved, please give the reasons for refusal:

Reasons for refusal:

As

If approved, please state total amount approved:

£ 3,000

Date of Appraisal Panel 16/11/11

Ward: HALSTEAD, KNOCKHOLT &
BADGERS MOUNT

Project: QUEEN'S DIAMOND JUBILEE

DECISION-MAKING

The application is for less than the maximum grant of £3,000 or £6,000 if Members from more than one ward are submitting the application.	Please tick <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
The delivery organisation is eligible – please check para 3 of the Guidelines	Please tick <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
The Equalities Statement has been returned signed, OR the delivery organisation has confirmed that an equalities policy is in place.	Please tick <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Total points allocated to the project (the Panel should recommend approval of the highest scoring applications unless they are concerned that the cost/benefit score is not good enough)	81
The cost/benefit value – this is the amount applied for divided by the total points allocated to the project. The lower this score, the better the VFM.	37.04
The extent to which equalities issues are supported by this application: SOMETHING FOR EVERYONE	
Any questions that must be answered before a decision is made (only if deferring the decision):	
Any special conditions that should be imposed:	
How the outcome of the project will be monitored (performance indicators): NUMBER OF PEOPLE INVOLVED IN THE EVENTS PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE SCARECROWS	

Final decision:



Approved



Refused



Deferred pending further info



Deferred pending further allocation of funds

If not approved, please give the reasons for refusal:

Reasons for refusal:

If approved, please state total amount approved:

£ 1,500

Date of Appraisal Panel 16/11/11

Ward: SEVENOAKS TOWN &
ST. JOHNS

Project: REPLACE LIGHT FITTINGS @ SEVENOAKS
CRICKET CLUB

DECISION-MAKING

The application is for less than the maximum grant of £3,000 or £6,000 if Members from more than one ward are submitting the application.	Please tick <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
The delivery organisation is eligible – please check para 3 of the Guidelines	Please tick <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
The Equalities Statement has been returned signed, OR the delivery organisation has confirmed that an equalities policy is in place.	Please tick <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Total points allocated to the project (the Panel should recommend approval of the highest scoring applications unless they are concerned that the cost/benefit score is not good enough)	44
The cost/benefit value – this is the amount applied for divided by the total points allocated to the project. The lower this score, the better the VFM.	13.63
The extent to which equalities issues are supported by this application: OPEN TO ALL	
Any questions that must be answered before a decision is made (only if deferring the decision): 	
Any special conditions that should be imposed: 	
How the outcome of the project will be monitored (performance indicators): NUMBER OF YOUNG PEOPLE ASSISTED THROUGH PROJECT	

Final decision:

☒ Approved

☐ Refused

☐ Deferred pending further info

☐ Deferred pending further allocation of funds

If not approved, please give the reasons for refusal:

Reasons for refusal:

If approved, please state total amount approved:

£ 500

Date of Appraisal Panel 16/11/11

Ward: SEAL & WEALD

Project: UNDERRIVER DIAMOND JUBILEE EVENTS

DECISION-MAKING

The application is for less than the maximum grant of £3,000 or £6,000 if Members from more than one ward are submitting the application.	Please tick <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
The delivery organisation is eligible – please check para 3 of the Guidelines	Please tick <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
The Equalities Statement has been returned signed, OR the delivery organisation has confirmed that an equalities policy is in place.	Please tick <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Total points allocated to the project (the Panel should recommend approval of the highest scoring applications unless they are concerned that the cost/benefit score is not good enough)	87
The cost/benefit value – this is the amount applied for divided by the total points allocated to the project. The lower this score, the better the VFM.	31.32
The extent to which equalities issues are supported by this application: AN ALL-INCLUSIVE EVENT	
Any questions that must be answered before a decision is made (only if deferring the decision):	
Any special conditions that should be imposed: SPECIFICALLY TO SUPPORT UNDERRIVER GAMES AND COMMEMORATIVE TREE BENCH,	
How the outcome of the project will be monitored (performance indicators): NUMBER OF PEOPLE TAKING PART PHOTOGRAPH OF BENCH	

Final decision:

☒ Approved

☐ Refused

☐ Deferred pending further info

☐ Deferred pending further allocation of funds

If not approved, please give the reasons for refusal:

Reasons for refusal:

If approved, please state total amount approved:

£ 2,000

Date of Appraisal Panel

16/11/11

Ward: BRATED, CHEVENING
& SUNDRIDGE

Project: OLYMPIC TORCH RELAY BANNERS

DECISION-MAKING

The application is for less than the maximum grant of £3,000 or £6,000 if Members from more than one ward are submitting the application.	Please tick <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
The delivery organisation is eligible – please check para 3 of the Guidelines	Please tick <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
The Equalities Statement has been returned signed, OR the delivery organisation has confirmed that an equalities policy is in place.	Please tick <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Total points allocated to the project (the Panel should recommend approval of the highest scoring applications unless they are concerned that the cost/benefit score is not good enough)	48
The cost/benefit value – this is the amount applied for divided by the total points allocated to the project. The lower this score, the better the VFM.	62.5
The extent to which equalities issues are supported by this application: OPEN TO ALL	
Any questions that must be answered before a decision is made (only if deferring the decision):	
Any special conditions that should be imposed:	
How the outcome of the project will be monitored (performance indicators): PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE EVENT	

Final decision:

☒ Approved☐ Refused☐ Deferred pending further info☐ Deferred pending further allocation of funds

If not approved, please give the reasons for refusal:

Reasons for refusal:

If approved, please state total amount approved:

£ 1,000

Date of Appraisal Panel 16/11/11

Ward: "SWANLEY"

Project: ICE-RINK, SWANLEY TOWN CENTRE

DECISION-MAKING

The application is for less than the maximum grant of £3,000 or £6,000 if Members from more than one ward are submitting the application.	Please tick <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
The delivery organisation is eligible – please check para 3 of the Guidelines	Please tick <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
The Equalities Statement has been returned signed, OR the delivery organisation has confirmed that an equalities policy is in place.	Please tick <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Total points allocated to the project (the Panel should recommend approval of the highest scoring applications unless they are concerned that the cost/benefit score is not good enough)	32
The cost/benefit value – this is the amount applied for divided by the total points allocated to the project. The lower this score, the better the VFM.	52.69
The extent to which equalities issues are supported by this application: AIMING TO MEET THE NEEDS OF ALL THE COMMUNITY	
Any questions that must be answered before a decision is made (only if deferring the decision):	
Any special conditions that should be imposed:	
How the outcome of the project will be monitored (performance indicators):	

Final decision:

☐ Approved

☒ Refused

☐ Deferred pending further info

☐ Deferred pending further allocation of funds

If not approved, please give the reasons for refusal:

Reasons for refusal:
THE APPLICATION DID NOT DEMONSTRATE VALUE FOR MONEY. MEMBERS FELT THAT THIS SORT OF EXPENDITURE COULD ONLY BE JUSTIFIED FOR SOMETHING THAT WAS PART OF A MUCH WIDER COMMUNITY EVENT INVOLVING A WIDE VARIETY OF COMMUNITY AND VOLUNTARY ORGANISATIONS AND PARTNER AGENCIES

If approved, please state total amount approved:

£ 0