Date of Appraisal Panel 16/11/11

Ward: DUNTON GREEN & RIVERNEND

Project: RIVERNEND CENTRE

DECISION-MAKING

	s than the maximum grant of £3,0 n one ward are submitting the app		Please tick
	n is eligible – please check para 3 c		Please tick
The Equalities Statemen	t has been returned signed, OR the	a delivery	✓ Please tick
II.	ned that an equalities policy is in pl	•	-/
Total points allegated to	the project (the Danel should reco	mmand approval of	Ø
-	the project (the Panel should recordations unless they are concerned	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	,
cost/benefit score is not	good enough)		65
The cost/benefit value -	this is the amount applied for divi	ded by the total	
	roject. The lower this score, the be	-	19.55
	alities issues are supported by this PACT AND WILL HELP		•
_	LITTLE MORE HELP T		
Any questions that must	be answered before a decision is a	made (only if deferring	the decision):
Any special conditions th	nat should be imposed:		
PLAQUE TO SAY 6	THIS BENCH WAS FUN DISTRICT COUNCIL'S B	DED BY SEVENI	DAKS ,,
	DISTRICT COUNCIL'S B	SIG COMMUNITY	FUND
ADVISORY - PERN	MISSION OF THE LANDO	owner	
How the outcome of the	project will be monitored (performation)	ance indicators):	
Final decision:	Approved	Refused	
	Deferred pending further info	Deferred pending	further
		allocation of fur	
If not approved, please	give the reasons for refusal:		
Reasons for refusal:	D. 1 2.10 10000110 101 10100011	H II	
If approved, please state	e total amount approved:		1

£1,271

Date of Appraisal Panel 16 (11 (1)

Ward: EDENBRIDGE SOUTH & WEST

Project: CHRISTMAS LIGHTS & TREE FOR THE STREET

DECISION-MAKING

	s than the maximum grant of £3,00		Please tick
	n one ward are submitting the appl n is eligible – please check para 3 c		Please tick
Line diemony enganisation	, to engine of product parts of		\square
	t has been returned signed, OR the		Please tick
organisation has confirm	ned that an equalities policy is in pla	ace.	
Total points allocated to	the project (the Panel should recor	nmend approval of	
	ications unless they are concerned	that the	78
cost/benefit score is not	good enough)		
1	this is the amount applied for divid		
points allocated to the p	roject. The lower this score, the be	tter the VFM.	38-46
The extent to which equa	alities issues are supported by this	application:	
NO NEGATI	IE IMPACT		
1.0 146014(1)			
Any questions that must	be answered before a decision is r	nade (only if deferring	g the decision):
A			
Any special conditions th	nat should be imposed:		
How the outcome of the	project will be monitored (performa	ance indicators):	
	DENCE THAT THERE IS IN		ALL
IN THE TOWN	CENTRE		
		D. D. C	
Final decision:	Approved	Refused	
	Deferred pending further info	Deferred pending	
		allocation of fu	nds
If not approved, please	give the reasons for refusal:		
Reasons for refusal:			
₩			
•			
	·		

If approved, please state total amount approved:

£ 3,000

Date of Appraisal Panel 16/11/11 Ward: HALSTEAD, KNOCKHOLT&
BADGERS MOUNT

BADGERS MOUNT

DECISION-MAKING

The application is for less than the maximum grant of £3,000 or £6,000 if Members from more than one ward are submitting the application.	Please tick
The delivery organisation is eligible – please check para 3 of the Guidelines	Please tick
product congruence congruence product	
The Equalities Statement has been returned signed, OR the delivery	Please tick
organisation has confirmed that an equalities policy is in place.	
Total points allocated to the project (the Panel should recommend approval of	
the highest scoring applications unless they are concerned that the	
cost/benefit score is not good enough)	81
The cost/benefit value – this is the amount applied for divided by the total	-
points allocated to the project. The lower this score, the better the VFM.	37.04
	3700,
The extent to which equalities issues are supported by this application:	
SOMETHING FOR EVERYONE	
Any questions that must be answered before a decision is made (only if deferri	ng the decision):
Any special conditions that should be imposed:	
How the outcome of the project will be monitored (performance indicators):	
NUMBER OF PEOPLE INVOLVED IN THE EVENTS	
PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE SCARECROWS	
Final decision: Approved Refused	
Final decision: Approved Refused	
Deferred pending further info Deferred pendir	ng further
allocation of f	unds
If not approved, please give the reasons for refusal:	
Reasons for refusal:	

If approved, please state total amount approved:

£ 1,500

Date of Appraisal Panel 16(11(1) Ward: Sevenoaxs	s Town &
Project: REPLACE LIGHT FITTINGS @ SEVENDAKS CLICKET CLUB	S
CLICKET CLUB	
DECISION-MAKING	
The application is for less than the maximum grant of £3,000 or £6,000 if	Please tick
Members from more than one ward are submitting the application.	Places tiels
The delivery organisation is eligible – please check para 3 of the Guidelines	Please tick
The Equalities Statement has been returned signed, OR the delivery	Please tick
organisation has confirmed that an equalities policy is in place.	
Total points allocated to the project (the Panel should recommend approval of	
the highest scoring applications unless they are concerned that the	44
cost/benefit score is not good enough)	' '
The cost/benefit value – this is the amount applied for divided by the total	
points allocated to the project. The lower this score, the better the VFM.	13.63
The extent to which equalities issues are supported by this application:	
$\bigcirc \bigcirc $	
OPEN TO ALL	
Any questions that must be answered before a decision is made (only if deferring	the decision):
Any special conditions that should be imposed:	
Any special conditions that should be imposed.	
How the outcome of the project will be monitored (performance indicators):	
Number	20 -
NUMBER OF YOUNG PEOPLE ASSISTED THROUGH F	420 JECT
Final decision: Approved Refused	
Deferred pending further info Deferred pending	further
allocation of fur	
If not approved, please give the reasons for refusal:	
Reasons for refusal:	
If approved, please state total amount approved:	
£ 500	

Date of Appraisal Panel 16/11/11 Ward: SEAL &	WEALD
Project: UNDERRIVER DIAMOND JUBILEE EVENTS	
DECISION-MAKING	
The application is for less than the maximum grant of £3,000 or £6,000 if Members from more than one ward are submitting the application.	Please tick
The delivery organisation is eligible – please check para 3 of the Guidelines	Please tick
The Equalities Statement has been returned signed, OR the delivery organisation has confirmed that an equalities policy is in place.	Please tick
Total points allocated to the project (the Panel should recommend approval of the highest scoring applications unless they are concerned that the cost/benefit score is not good enough)	87
The cost/benefit value – this is the amount applied for divided by the total points allocated to the project. The lower this score, the better the VFM.	31-32
The extent to which equalities issues are supported by this application:	
AN ALL-INCLUSIVE EVENT	
Any questions that must be answered before a decision is made (only if deferring	the decision):
Any special conditions that should be imposed:	
SPECIFICALLY TO SUPPORT UNDERRIVER GAMES AND COMMERIORATIVE TREE BENCH,	
How the outcome of the project will be monitored (performance indicators):	
NUMBER OF PEOPLE TAKING PART	
PHOTOGRAPH OF BENCH	
Final decision: Approved Refused	
Deferred pending further info Deferred pending allocation of fur	
If not approved, please give the reasons for refusal:	
Reasons for refusal:	
If approved, please state total amount approved:	
£ 2,000	

Date of Appraisal Panel 16/11/11 Ward: BRASTED, CHEVENING
Project: OLYMPIC TORCH RELAY BANNERS & SUNDRIDGE

DECISION-MAKING

	s than the maximum grant of £3,00 n one ward are submitting the app		Please tick
The delivery organisation	n is eligible – please check para 3 c	of the Guidelines	Please tick
organisation has confirm	t has been returned signed, OR the ned that an equalities policy is in pl	ace.	Please tick
	the project (the Panel should recor ications unless they are concerned good enough)		48
· ·	this is the amount applied for divide the things of the lower this score, the be		62.5
The extent to which equa	alities issues are supported by this	application:	
OPEN TO AL	<u></u>		
Any questions that must	be answered before a decision is r	made (only if deferrin _i	g the decision):
Any opposed conditions th	at about displaying and		
Any special conditions th	iat siloulu be imposeu.		
How the outcome of the	project will be monitored (performa	ance indicators):	
	OF THE EVENT		
Final decision:	Approved	Refused	
	Deferred pending further info	Deferred pending allocation of fu	
	give the reasons for refusal:		
Reasons for refusal:			

If approved, please state total amount approved:

£ 1,000

Date of Appraisal Panel 16(11/11 Ward: "SWANL	CY"
Project: ICE-RINK, SWANLEY TOWN CENTRE	
DECISION-MAKING	
The application is for less than the maximum grant of £3,000 or £6,000 if Members from more than one ward are submitting the application.	Please tick
The delivery organisation is eligible – please check para 3 of the Guidelines	Please tick
The Equalities Statement has been returned signed, OR the delivery organisation has confirmed that an equalities policy is in place.	Please tick
Total points allocated to the project (the Panel should recommend approval of the highest scoring applications unless they are concerned that the cost/benefit score is not good enough)	32
The cost/benefit value – this is the amount applied for divided by the total points allocated to the project. The lower this score, the better the VFM.	52.69
The extent to which equalities issues are supported by this application:	
AIMING TO MEET THE NEEDS OF ALL THE COMP	400177
Any questions that must be answered before a decision is made (only if deferring	the decision):
Any special conditions that should be imposed:	
How the outcome of the project will be monitored (performance indicators):	
Final decision: Approved Refused	
Deferred pending further info Deferred pending allocation of fur	
If not approved, please give the reasons for refusal:	
Reasons for refusal: THE APPLICATION DID NOT DEMONSTRATE VALUE FOR MC MEMBERS FELT THAT THIS SORT OF EXPENDITURE COULD OF JUSTIFIED FOR SOMETHING THAT WAS PART OF A MUCH WID EVENT INVOLVING A WIDE VARIETY OF COMMUNITY AND VOLUND DEGANISATIONS AND PARTNER AGENCIES	ER COMMUNITY
If approved, please state total amount approved:	

£ 🔘